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In chronic aortic dissection, conventional wisdom maintains that persistence of the false lumen (FL) is associated with disease progression and poorer long-term outcomes relative to FL thrombosis. 1,2 Even partial thrombosis has been linked to aortic expansion. 3 These observations are similar in patients with surgically repaired acute type A aortic dissection with persistent distal disease. Although the principal etiology of progressive aortic dilatation is FL patency, advancements in surgical techniques (eg, open distal anastomoses, frozen elephant trunks) have reduced the likelihood of long-term patency. Given the reported association between FL thrombosis and improved patient outcomes, intuitively, the use of anticoagulant medications to prevent the onset of thrombosis would lead to inferior outcomes relative to prohemostatic agents that enhance secondary hemostasis or medications without an impact on hemostasis. However, in this issue of the Journal, Vendramin and colleagues 4 have suggested that perhaps chronic oral anticoagulation [OAC] is not a terrible idea. In their retrospective analysis of a single-institution experience, the authors found that chronic OAC resulted in greater persistent late FL patency rates without an associated increase in adverse outcomes. These study findings should provide some reassurance when considering chronic OAC in patients with comorbidities associated with an increased risk for thromboembolic events (ie, patients receiving a mechanical aortic valve or with concomitant atrial fibrillation).

Vendramin and colleagues acknowledge some of the limitations of their study, including the low overall number of patients evaluated. Moreover, although the patient characteristics of the 2 groups were similar, the procedures they underwent were comparatively heterogeneous and may have influenced the reported morbidity and mortality rates. Notably, a much greater rate of isolated hemiarch procedure alone was conducted in patients not receiving OAC relative to patients receiving OAC (87% vs 29%, respectively).

The primary positive of this study was in the finding that the incidence of FL thrombosis was not significantly influenced by OAC, with an observed association between FL patency in patients receiving OAC and an increased frequency of partial thrombosis in patients not receiving OAC. Nevertheless, the incidence of complete thrombosis of the FL was comparable between both groups.

A possible explanation for these observations is suggested by a patient-specific computational model of type B dissection, demonstrating that both thrombus formation and growth were not only influenced by fluid shear rate, residence time, and platelet distribution but, most notably, the geometry of aortic dissection and its evolution over time. 5 Consequently, this study suggests that thrombotic events associated with type B aortic dissection should be...
viewed as manifold and not simply a function of secondary hemostasis. When viewed through this prism, the findings reported by Vendramin and colleagues are not just those of another negative study but reinforce the idea that aortic pathophysiology is anything but simple.
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We pursue certain principles when operating on those with acute type I aortic dissection (ATIAD). Foremost is to relieve the patient of his or her imminent mortality. But we also want to leave a competent aortic valve, resect as much diseased aorta as is safely possible, correct malperfusion, resect the primary intimal tear, attempt to restore flow into the true lumen, and obliterate the false lumen. All of this is done to minimize aortic-related death, need for reintervention, and morbidity. However, evidence for this is not robust and is at times divergent.1-5 Take for instance obliteration of the false lumen.

Vendramin and colleagues from the University Hospital of Udine1 report their retrospective study assessing the influence of oral anticoagulation (OAC) therapy on false lumen patency and outcomes following ATIAD repair. There was a 24% operative mortality for all acute type A aortic dissections over the study period. In 188 ATIAD operative survivors-to-discharge, 127 had sufficient imaging to assess the false lumen over time. OAC using warfarin was used in 39 of the patients and the other 88 comprised the no-OAC group. OAC was not a randomized treatment and mechanical aortic valve replacement was the most common indication for OAC.

The authors conclude that OAC with warfarin favored false lumen patency. However, this is analogous to a glass half full or half empty. A partially thrombosed lumen is also partially patent and whether or not the patency or the thrombosis is afforded precedence will alter perception. The OAC group had 54% patent false lumen compared with 38% in the no-OAC group. Statistically, these rates were not significantly different. Complete false lumen thrombosis was also not significantly different; 38% for...