Commentary: A long road back to the beginning

David D’Alessandro, MD, and Richard N. Pierson III, MD

Use of donation after circulatory death (DCD) hearts could significantly extend cardiac transplantation’s therapeutic reach. Smith and colleagues1 should be congratulated on their pioneering work in which they report the first successful use of the normothermic regional perfusion (NRP) technique in an adult DCD heart program in the United States. These authors describe an innovative protocol that relocated potential donor patients to the New York University Medical Center for the purpose of organ donation. Eight hearts were recovered and successfully transplanted with 100% 30-day recipient survival. Ironically, this latest advancement in our field returns us to the very first heart transplant, performed by Christian Barnard more than 50 years ago using a heart from a DCD donor who was resuscitated on cardiopulmonary bypass.2

Once brain death criteria were established and legally recognized worldwide, the use of brain dead, heart-beating donors became the standard practice, with outstanding, highly reproducible results. The ethical principles involved are well established and for the most part not controversial. The ethical principles of DCD organ transplantation, in contrast, are not as firmly established. Donor management practices and local governances related to DCD organ donation vary considerably between hospitals, both within the United States and across the globe. In an effort to address these and related concerns, an expert consensus document was recently published that addresses many of the fundamental issues and provides a thoughtful framework for discussion.3

The different approaches for DCD heart transplantation have important implications to other organ teams and there are significant ethical concerns that need to be addressed internationally.
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of donor blood flow has been a source of ethical concern. Although the technique includes clamping the arch vessels before reestablishing donor systemic circulation, critics have pointed out that this approach does not take into account unexpected anatomic anomalies, nor prevent collateral flow to the brain stem or even to the intracranial circulation. (A recent position paper advocates for opening the aortic arch vessels distally to vent them to atmospheric pressure to prevent this unlikely but ethically troublesome possibility. This maneuver was not performed in the New York University series.) Further, there is justifiable concern that the NRP technique could conflict with the US Uniform Determination of Death Act, which in the absence of brain death, determines death on the basis of “irreversible cessation of circulatory and respiratory functions.” In contrast, the ex vivo heart resuscitation approach, while requiring costly equipment and specialized technical expertise, is less ethically controversial because the risk of inadvertent restoration of brain stem or intracranial blood flow is avoided.

The practice of transplantation is made possible by the altruistic participation of a trusting population. We must fiercely protect our ethical standards and our Hippocratic Oath for all the patients we are privileged to care for, including nonbrain-dead potential heart donors. In our opinion, this imperative includes not subjecting potential donors to invasive procedures such as coronary catheterizations for the sole purpose evaluating a patient’s heart for transplant, as described by these authors. Also of concern, the act of transporting potential donors to the recipient’s hospital removes these patients from the care of their local practitioners, takes them further from their families, and blurs the line that separates transplant programs from participating in the care of donors who are not deceased. Our system needs to protect the most vulnerable, including those who may not have medical guardians who exhibit the rigor, tenacity, and ethical probity that we surgeons take pride in.

As a global transplant community, we must critically examine our evolving practices. In pushing the DCD boundaries, as Smith and colleagues have proposed, we need to ensure that we do not erode the public trust we have worked so hard to secure. Our overarching concern is that an unchecked push forward may result in a precipitous fall backward, as would occur if a recent proposal for a moratorium on NRP DCD programs were to gain traction. The pioneers among us must choose not to skirt ethical boundaries or ignore legitimate concerns raised by experts or the general public.

More generally, we believe that medical ethics should not vary from 1 medical center to another, or from 1 region or country to another. We in medicine and surgery have a responsibility to participate in defining best practices and to defend what is right. In our fully justifiable efforts to increase access to scarce donor hearts, let us be sure we are not taking a wrong turn. If we are thoughtful and deliberate, it will become clear whether in situ heart resuscitation is in fact a step forward on the long road ahead.
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