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The whole is greater than the sum of the parts.

—Aristotle, *Metaphysics*

People with <3 cusps to their aortic valve are typically diagnosed with bicuspid aortic valve (BAV), but the spectrum of bicuspidness is vast and multidimensional. BAV results from an error in embryologic development. Where, when, and how this occurs during aortic valve, left ventricular outflow tract, and aortic root maturation determines morphology and the severity of pathology.

As management of this condition evolves, so too must our appreciation for the details. When surgical valve replacement or commissurotomy were the only treatment options for BAV, nuances of pathology were most relevant to researchers focused on discovery. More recently, valve repair has become a durable option for select patients with aortic insufficiency.1 Its success demands a better appreciation for factors such as ventriculo-aortic discontinuity; anulus shape and dimension; aortic root architecture; and cusp size, shape, and orientation. We are now seeing transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) increasingly applied to older patients with BAV. When TAVR was new, we quickly learned that its success depended on a keen understanding of the patient–prosthesis interface. This issue is even more important for patient selection in TAVR for BAV.

An esteemed group of more than 50 world experts on the topic of BAV spent nearly 3 years writing a thorough consensus document focused on the nomenclature and classification of congenital BAV disease.2 The multidisciplinary group includes cardiologists, surgeons, interventionalists, pathologists, geneticists, and imaging specialists. They describe various systems (at least 11) used to categorize these patients. The stage was set for heterogeneity and potential confusion, but they worked together from multiple perspectives to arrive at consensus.

The objective of a nomenclature and classification system is to optimize communication and collaboration by providing simplicity and standardization. The proposed International Consensus Classification system uses an English language method of nomenclature that includes cusp differentiation between fused BAV, 2-sinus BAV, and partial fusion BAV; details about valve function and symmetry (relevant for valve repair) and a description of aortopathy phenotype (3 types as validated by machine learning3) with or without coarctation. BAV is too complex of a subject to simplify. If the goal is to improve communication and collaboration around the topic of congenital aortic valve disease, they have taken a great first step.

To improve clarity, the authors eliminate congenital unicuspid aortic valve (ie, Sievers type 2) from this conversation. Congenital unicuspid aortic valves are encountered intraoperatively more often than predicted by preoperative imaging.4 The proposed nomenclature and classification guidance provided by this document could be applied to unicuspid patients too. It is quite common for a patient to come to the operating room with a diagnosis of BAV and on inspection have a unicuspid valve with 3 sinuses, fused right left and noncoronary cusps.
with a single left/noncomissure, asymmetry, and a root phenotype aortopathy. Most of these patients are young adults faced with more difficult perioperative decisions about timing and treatment than a typical BAV patient.

Our tools for understanding this complex condition will continue to improve. We will gain a better understanding of the genetics and embryologic processes of maldevelopment. New imaging techniques allow for the quantitative fusion of anatomic and physiologic details. We are learning how biomechanics and mechanotransduction lead to the progression of pathology. Improved artificial intelligence systems will identify patterns from imaging and statistical signals. As our knowledge improves, so will our need to communicate across disciplines so that each individual patient we meet can receive the best precision care for his or her congenital aortic valve condition.
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This new nomenclature and classification system for patients with a bicuspid aortic valve aims high but its complexity may be challenging in clinical settings.