complete vascular ring. The patients can have tracheal or bronchial malacia or deformed cartilage rings with tracheal stenosis leading to incomplete resolution of presenting symptoms with standard surgical techniques. From a diagnostic standpoint, rigid intraoperative bronchoscopy was critical to identify these residual lesions and allowed patient-specific surgical management. The stated goal was to produce an airway where more than 50% of the luminal diameter is free of extrinsic compression. In their report, the techniques used to accomplish this included the aortic uncrossing procedure, anterior or posterior tracheobronchopexy, or slide tracheoplasty. It is important to emphasize that these additional procedures are adjuncts to the standard surgical approach for each type of vascular anomaly. For example, in patients with a double aortic arch variant of a circumflex aorta, the aortic uncrossing procedure was undertaken after division of the nondominant arch and ligamentum arteriosum. Patients who continued to demonstrate significant airway compromise had additional surgical procedures based on the anatomy of the patient’s airway. The 3-phase bronchoscopy advocated by the authors should be a central component of how congenital heart surgeons manage patients with a circumflex aorta or double aortic arch who may not be as straightforward as once thought.
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Commentary: A long haul and a short slide?

Michael E. Mitchell, MD

In “Aortic Uncrossing and Tracheobronchopexy Corrects Tracheal Compression and Tracheobronchomalacia Associated with Circumflex Aortic Arch,” Kamran and colleagues make a strong case for a significant upgrade in the intensity of surgery versus a traditional approach for a subgroup of patients requiring surgical relief of severe airway compression due to a circumflex aortic arch. Their argument is carefully constructed, and the anatomic drawings and honesty of their presentation are excellent.

The authors identify that patients with severe respiratory symptoms and circumflex aortic arch are the patients in whom traditional repair of the vascular ring will tend to fail because of residual airway compression. They
note that the case for aortic uncrossing was first reported by Planche and LaCoeur-Gayet in 1984. Backer and colleagues also reported that 2 of the 26 reinterventions in a series of 300 patients undergoing repair of the vascular ring had had a circumflex aorta. They reported their experience with 5 patients in whom the aortic uncrossing procedure was performed, 1 of whom had required aortic arch revision and 1 who had required a temporary tracheostomy.

It is logical that the traditional division of the double arch would not result in the same anatomic and physiologic relief experienced by patients with aortas descending on the same side; however, other less-invasive options are available. For example, anterior tracheal suspension, combined with standard ring repair, in this group of patients has had some success (Figure 1). If aortic uncrossing could be performed with low morbidity, it would likely have been more broadly adopted, in particular, for the most severe cases. In the current series, 3 of 8 patients (38%) had experienced major events, including 2 (25%) who developed permanent bilateral vocal cord paralysis requiring tracheostomy. The authors have improved their technique, and these complications occurred early in the series; however, this learning curve will have to be overcome by others as well. To this point, the authors acknowledge that they do not recommend aortic uncrossing for all patients.

We are left with the observation that the traditional division of the double aortic arch has resulted in the need for re-intervention in some of these patients but we do not know what proportion. Thus, the question remains: in patients with a circumflex aorta, what is the percentage who have undergone traditional repair with division of a vascular ring, who have subsequently required reintervention after that repair?

The authors have added tracheopexy, both anterior and posterior, to the repair, as well as slide tracheoplasty when needed. These are essential innovations, although it could be the case that these advanced tracheal procedures could provide successful results in some patients without the need for aortic uncrossing.

This contribution is important both in describing a successful technique and experience in a very challenging group of patients and in openly discussing the improvements made to the approach over time, which have resulted in improved outcomes. The authors are to be applauded. It is a technique that will play a very important role when performed correctly and applied selectively.

References