Commentary: No free lunch: What we talk about when we talk about anomalous aortic origin of a coronary artery

Jonathan M. Chen, MD

Paradoxically, one of the most straightforward parental surgical consents is that obtained for an otherwise lethal lesion, no matter how seemingly prohibitive the operative risk. However, for the majority of operations, the discussions of “informed” consent revolve around the tension of operative and perioperative risk as weighed against the natural history of disease progression if left untreated. Although statistical risks of both death or complication can be estimated, none is definitively known for any one patient, and so in truth the choice to proceed with any operation often is as much a decision of faith as of exacting odds. This tension is no better illustrated than in the evolution of surgical intervention for anomalous aortic origin of a coronary artery (AAOCA), a condition for which the “actual” risk of sudden death is inexact, the “true” mechanism of ischemia is unclear, and the long-term preventative impact of surgery is unknown. In this issue of the Journal, Jegatheeswaran and colleagues,1 from the Congenital Heart Surgeons’ Society, profile the medical and surgical outcomes of 682 patients with AAOCA enrolled over an 8-year period.

Despite an impressive array of data, and even with the extraordinary discipline of a Congenital Heart Surgeons’ Society database study, Jegatheeswaran and colleagues’ article1 brings us only slightly closer to a better understanding of the risk/benefit ratio of intervening on AAOCA. The arc of surgical enthusiasm for this lesion has followed from an initial (naïve?) understanding that an intramural/interarterial/intraconal course could be remedied to (1) the realization that the 2 indications for operation (prevention of sudden death, resolution of symptoms) are incompletely addressed, and more recently (2) a growing appreciation of significant morbidity that can be incurred even with a “successful” intervention.1-5

Jegatheeswaran and colleagues’ article1 helps us better appreciate the pitfalls of current operative strategies. As their data would suggest, the association of symptoms with bona fide ischemia is less than 50%, the risk of more than mild aortic insufficiency with commissural manipulation is considerable, and for some, there continues to be a need for additional coronary reoperations.1 In short, there is no free lunch in cardiac surgery. Of note, of the 287 patients not treated surgically, 6 (2.1%) died of non–AAOCA-related conditions during the study period, which is twice the number whose cause of death was “related to AAOCA.” Although only a cheeky congenital surgeon might then deduce that AAOCA is protective from death in the nonoperative cohort, these demographic data highlight the magnitude of our lack of clarity as to the competing risks of death in the general population. In Carver’s short story, the nature of love remains elusive despite his character’s best efforts to define it.6 Unfortunately, except for those who experience arrest as the result of ischemia, the true risk/benefit of operations for AAOCA may be comparably vague for any patient. Our job as a congenital community is to reevaluate our data with vigilance and humility, and remember that in the management of AAOCA, there may be a considerable amount that we don’t know that we don’t know.

CENTRAL MESSAGE
Operations to repair AAOCA are not without consequences, and these must be considered in evaluating the risk/benefit ratio of intervention versus observation.
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Commentary: I guess I’m just confused…isn’t this information sobering?

Charles D. Fraser, Jr, MD

I have been anxiously waiting for the article by Jegatheeswaran and colleagues1 concerning the outcomes after surgery for anomalous aortic origin of a coronary artery (AAOCA) to be published. I was an invited discussant for the oral presentation of these data at the annual meeting of the American Association for Thoracic Surgery almost 1 year ago. At the time, I thought these data needed to be published as soon as possible. In fact, I commented to several colleagues that the accompanying article merited an expedited review. I found the information concerning and critically important to what have become very frequent (and lengthy) conversations with patients and families about AAOCA surgery. Maybe I was confused, but I thought we really need to pay close attention to what is going on with this subject.

The study is not perfect, but what study is? It is a retrospective look at a voluntary registry from 45 centers contributing to the Congenital Heart Surgeons Society. Thus, there must be some selection bias, but I argue that this may influence the data toward a more optimistic than practically realistic viewpoint. More to come on that. One criticism of the article was that it does not compare outcomes between surgical and expectant (nonoperative) management. That was not the intention of the study, and, of course, to make such a comparison, one would have to agree to nonoperative treatment of patients with the
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