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Airway anastomotic complications remain a source of morbidity and mortality after lung transplantation. Rates of postoperative bronchial complications have decreased over time, but there is still a high incidence ranging from 2% to 25%.1,2 This decrease can be attributed to improvements in technique, such as shortening the donor bronchus and using absorbable suture. However, there remain a variety of anastomotic implantation techniques, such as continuous running suture with a single suture or 2 sutures, or running the membranous portion of the airway and interrupting the cartilaginous surface.1,3 Some institutions prefer running suture for size-matched donor and recipient bronchi but using interrupted cartilaginous sutures when there is appreciable mismatch3 or lobar transplantation to minimize postoperative bronchial complications.

The authors of this study have been proponents of single continuous running bronchial anastomosis for lung transplantation in all situations, including size mismatch, single-lung transplantation, and lobar transplantation.4 Examining their data over an 18-year period, there was a low complication rate of 1.56%, with 2941 anastomoses in 1555 patients. They defined an anastomotic complication as patients who required any endoscopic or surgical intervention postoperatively and was graded according to the International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation classification system.5 A majority of their complications were stenosis (42/45), with only 0.1% dehiscence and 0.03% necrosis rates. Interestingly, there was a greater rate of right-sided anastomotic complications (2.44% for right anastomoses vs 0.68% for left anastomoses), which correlates to data from other groups and perhaps highlights the susceptibility of the right bronchial blood supply. Lobar anastomoses did not have a greater rate of postoperative complications at the lobar anastomotic site, with 1.72% (5/290) requiring intervention. Their overall low rate, which additionally decreased over time from 2.4% from 1999 to 2003 to 0.8% from 2014 to 2017, demonstrates that, in the correct hands, single-suture running anastomoses can be used ubiquitously in lung transplant bronchial anastomoses.

This retrospective study demonstrates the feasibility of using a single running suture technique with low anastomotic complications for lung transplant, including greater-risk anastomoses. However, these data are generated from a high-volume group that has used this one technique for nearly 2 decades. The single running suture should be considered another method for bronchial anastomoses, but the other previously described options of continuous running suture with 2 sutures or running the membranous portion of the airway and interrupting the cartilaginous rings are also appropriate. The choice of anastomosis should remain dependent on the judgment of the surgeon, with this technique as another tool in their armamentarium.

CENTRAL MESSAGE
Single running suture for bronchial anastomoses in lung transplant is a good technique, with a low incidence of bronchial complications at a high-volume center.
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Schweitzer and colleagues report a large series of 1555 patients undergoing lung transplant using a single running suture bronchial anastomotic technique. Although many surgeons are hesitant to use a running suture due to the risk of purse-stringing and narrowing the anastomosis, the authors should be congratulated on achieving excellent results with a low rate of anastomotic complications. These complications have decreased over time, and the majority were treated endoscopically.

Including patients over almost 2 decades allowed the authors to create a larger study cohort, but the long time period introduces potential confounding issues. Changes in lung transplant selection and allocation (eg, distance, primary disease group, disease severity, and functional status), anastomotic and operative technique (eg, donor bronchial length, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [ECMO], or cardiopulmonary bypass), donor procurement (eg, donation after cardiac death, retrograde perfusion, preservation solutions, or ex vivo lung perfusion [EVLP]), postoperative care, and immunosuppression (induction therapy) could all potentially affect the incidence of anastomotic complications.

In the current study, the disease group, use of ECMO intraoperatively and as a bridge-to-transplant, and EVLP changed significantly over time. However, there was no association between ECMO or EVLP and bronchial complications, and the authors believe that the lower complication rate during the later time periods was due to increasing experience and transplant volumes. Anastomotic complications were higher with antithymoglobulin and with no induction therapy, and the majority of these patients were transplanted in the earlier time periods. Those treated with antithymoglobulin or without induction received higher doses of corticosteroids during the first year, which has been associated with anastomotic complications.

Anastomotic complications were higher with lobar transplants with 7 of the 45 airway complications in the lobar group. The incidence for anastomotic complications was 3.3% (7 out of 212) after lobar transplant, and all were right-sided. Mitilian and colleagues also found a higher anastomotic complication rate, with 16% requiring endoscopic treatment. In addition, airway complications may have a greater influence on the outcomes of lobar lung transplant recipients.