Nutrition support in cardiac surgery patients: *Be calm and feed on!*
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Advances in surgical procedures, anesthesia, perioperative management, and new technologies have facilitated continued improvements in patients’ outcomes and mortality reduction after cardiac surgery. However, this may not be enough for the contemporary patient undergoing cardiac surgery, with even greater comorbidities and frailty. Indeed, vulnerable older adult patients who experience a complicated or prolonged intensive care unit (ICU) stay after surgery remain impaired for years, with many of them never returning to work and a normal life after the ICU stay. One major factor contributing to this “post-ICU disability” is the loss of functional lean body mass, highlighting the importance of nutrition support as an integral component of the perioperative care of cardiac surgery patients. Since the first description of nutrition therapy in cardiac surgery patients in 1974 by Manners, it has taken more than 3 decades years until the crucial role of adequate nutrition support has been “rediscovered.”

**PERIOPERATIVE CARE: CARDIAC SURGERY PATIENTS NEED NUTRITION SUPPORT!**

A patient’s preoperative nutritional status represents an important driver for clinical outcomes. Malnutrition, defined as an unintentional nutritional intake imbalance (not necessarily a decreased intake), is evident in 20% of patients before cardiac surgery. Well-nourished patients have better outcomes and lower postoperative mortality after cardiac surgery, indicating the need for effective nutrition strategies to optimize malnourished patients before surgery. In this context, the International Society for Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) recently launched a multimodal subspecialty group for optimal perioperative care of cardiac surgery patients, “ERAS Cardiac,” with the overall goal to reduce surgical stress, maintain physiologic functional capacity, and facilitate postoperative recovery through evidence-based best practice. An integral component of these practice bundles includes preoperative and postoperative nutrition strategies developed to optimize the nutritional status of cardiac surgery patients with nutritional risk.

An inadequate or delayed initiation of nutritional support after surgery may aggravate preexisting malnutrition of cardiac surgery patients. Therefore, there is an urgent need to systematically identify malnourished patients who would most likely benefit from early initiated intense perioperative nutrition support. Until now, no prospectively validated nutrition risk tools are available for cardiac surgical patients. On the basis of existing nutritional assessment tools, most ICU and post–cardiac surgery patients would uniformly be classified to be at high nutritional risk, irrespective of the patients’ operative risk or the length of their ICU stay. Because nutritional deficiencies are known to increase with the ICU length of stay, the prediction of prolonged ICU stay represents an alternative approach for risk stratification. These patients are more likely to benefit and should be identified early to receive intense early nutritional support.

**Central Message**

Although easily doable, proven safe, and clinically relevant, nutrition support still remains largely inadequate in the perioperative treatment of cardiac surgery patients.

The Invited Expert Opinion provides a perspective on this topic based on the following paper: *Nutrients*. 2018;10:597. [https://doi.org/10.3390/nutrients10060597](https://doi.org/10.3390/nutrients10060597).

See Commentaries on pages 1109 and 1111.
combined model, including the European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation, cardiopulmonary bypass, and mini-nutrition assessment risk score for the prediction of prolonged ICU stay in cardiac surgery patients.\textsuperscript{13} If confirmed in prospective validation studies, it would enable an earlier initiation of aggressive postoperative nutrition therapy to prevent a worsening of malnutrition and facilitate an ERAS.\textsuperscript{13}

WHAT CAN THE PERIOPERATIVE CARDIAC SURGERY SPECIALIST LEARN FROM RECENT STUDIES?

The Right Timing: When to Start Nutrition Support

Although few large-scale studies are currently focusing on how to attenuate the perioperative inflammatory response by immune-modulating pharmaconutrients (eg, SUSTAIN CSX study\textsuperscript{14}), little evidence exists about how and when to start enteral nutrition (EN) and parenteral nutritional (PN) support after cardiac surgery. A timely initiation of adequate nutrition support is of special relevance for malnourished cardiac surgery patients and those with prolonged ICU stay after complex or combined surgical procedures.\textsuperscript{15} In this vulnerable population, insufficient nutrition support is associated with an increased rate of infections, poor wound healing, reduced respiratory muscle mass, delayed weaning from mechanical ventilation, increased length of ICU stay, increased readmission rates, high health care costs, and shorter survival time.\textsuperscript{5,11,16}

Because of sparse evidence, nutrition support and initiation of EN are often withheld during the early postoperative course of cardiac surgery patients and often considered harmful. The commonly observed low cardiac output syndrome and prolonged need of vasoactive medications after surgery represent common reasons for late initiation and restrictive use of nutrition support in cardiovascular ICU patients.\textsuperscript{17} A delay in initiating postoperative nutritional support and an inadequacy of caloric intake and protein intake (~70% less than the recommended intake) have been reported in cardiac surgery patients.\textsuperscript{16} Furthermore, gastrointestinal intolerance and fasting for procedures and interventions often result in less than 60% of recommended energy delivery compared with general ICU patients.\textsuperscript{18}

How to Reach Nutritional Targets

On the basis of this failure to deliver the required energy targets, the recently published multicenter randomized controlled TARGET trial tested whether delivering a higher number of calories using an energy-dense EN product (1.5 kcal/mL) would result in higher rates of survival of mechanically ventilated ICU patients within 90 days compared with lower caloric doses delivered using a standard EN feeding product (1.0 kcal/mL).\textsuperscript{19} The resulting data demonstrated that the use of energy-dense nutrition (23.1 ± 7.1/kg actual body weight) significantly increased the energy intake to full recommended goals, whereas patients in the routine EN group received only approximately 60% (15.6 ± 4.8 kcal/kg actual body weight) of the recommended energy goals. Regarding the primary end point, the rate of survival at 90 days did not differ between the treatment groups. Further, no significant effect was demonstrated with regard to the secondary end points, and the results were similar in the predefined subgroups.\textsuperscript{19} Considering potential limitations, the protein delivery remained 40% to 50% below the currently recommended goals and was the same in both groups, although the importance of an adequate protein support is recognized as a key component of nutritional support and recommended at significantly higher doses by recently updated international guidelines.\textsuperscript{20}

The results of available studies addressing the relationship between energy delivery and outcomes after critical illness reported conflicting results: On the one hand, few studies demonstrated that nutrition support below the recommended goals (so-called permissive underfeeding (~1000 kcal/day) or trophic feeding (~400 kcal/day) is not associated with worse outcomes or adverse events,\textsuperscript{21,22} whereas other studies have demonstrated that increasing delivery improves outcomes.\textsuperscript{11,23,24} The underlying reasons for these differing results may be due to the fact that not all critically ill patients benefit in the same manner from a nutrition support.\textsuperscript{25} Although a nutritional risk assessment is recommended by current international guidelines and needed to identify patients who may respond to intensive nutritional support, no risk assessment or stratification was performed in the TARGET trial and thus all critically ill patients were considered at the same nutritional risk. Previous studies demonstrated that not all ICU patients are the same, and there are some who benefit more or less from artificial protein-energy provision in the critical care setting.\textsuperscript{16} Therefore, it is not surprising that overall, regardless of the nutritional risk, the energy-dense nutritional support did not affect mortality or key secondary outcomes, including organ injury and duration of hospital stay in the analyses of the cohort patients.

The Right Route for Nutrition Support During the Early Phase of Critical Illness

International nutrition guidelines uniformly recommend that EN feeding shall be initiated within 24 to 48 hours in the critically ill patient who is unable to maintain oral volitional intake, because it promotes gut mucosal proliferation, maintains gut integrity, and enhances immune functions. This may lead to decreases in infectious complications and ICU/hospital length of stay and reduced mortality.\textsuperscript{26,27} Although previous studies reported mild adverse effects (eg, gastrointestinal intolerances) associated with early initiation of EN feeding,\textsuperscript{28} recent data from the TARGET study demonstrated that an early delivery of energy-dense
EN feeding was feasible, safe, and effective in increasing the energy intake. Nonetheless, it was mainly performed in medical ICU patients and did not affect outcomes. Likewise, in patients after cardiac surgery, EN feeding alone is often not effective to achieve nutrition targets within 24 to 48 hours after surgery, resulting from hemodynamic instability or gastrointestinal intolerance. During the initial phase of the acute illness, energy-dense EN products may represent an attractive option to achieve these nutrition goals in cardiac surgery patients with complex procedures and prolonged ICU stay. Compared with all other surgical or medical ICU patients, cardiac surgery is most often associated with iatrogenic malnutrition. Rahmann and colleagues showed that cardiac surgery patients received only approximately 20% to 30% of their energy and protein goals. In view of these alarming findings, the use of energy-dense EN may represent an important and underappreciated strategy to effectively reduce these nutritional deficiencies early in the postoperative course, which needs to be tested.

**Combined Enteral + Parenteral Nutrition as a Potential Strategy to Reduce Nutritional Deficiencies**

An extension to energy-dense EN, the use of PN in combination with EN (combined EN + PN) is increasingly considered a promising strategy to reduce the nutritional deficiencies during the early phase of acute illness. Guidelines already suggest that PN should be started in patients in whom EN cannot reach nutritional goals. Several randomized controlled studies have reported the effects of combined EN + PN and indicate a faster functional recovery in critically ill patients. Although EN is thought to be cheaper, safer, and more physiologic, and is recommended by international nutrition guidelines in critically ill patients without a contraindication to EN, recent data have demonstrated that combined PN + EN is effective in increasing calorie and protein delivery during the first ICU week and thus may provide an advantage in cardiac surgery patients to rapidly achieve targeted nutritional goals in comparison with EN alone.

Although a previous study indicated that the use of PN may be associated with more infectious complications, caused by high glucose use and hyperalimentation, Harvey and colleagues demonstrated in the randomized controlled CALORIES trial that mortality and infectious complications were similar in patients receiving total PN or EN alone. These results challenge the dogma that EN is superior to PN with respect to clinical outcomes in critical illness. In the large-scale NUTRIEREA-2 trial, Reignier and colleagues investigated whether the route of feeding affects the outcomes of critically patients in shock. In this multicenter randomized controlled study, critically ill patients on vasopressor support for shock were randomly assigned to PN or EN alone, both targeting normocaloric goals within 24 hours after intubation. The resulting data demonstrated that the early isocaloric EN reduced neither the mortality nor the risk of secondary infections; however, it was associated with greater digestive complications when compared with patients receiving early isocaloric PN. Among critically ill patients, nutritional deficiencies were most significant in patients after cardiac surgery. Nutritional support is often withheld because of concerns for paralytic ileus, ischemia/reperfusion injury related to prolonged clamping of the aorta, and prolonged vasopressor support or hemodynamic instability. In this context, the concept of combining EN + PN may represent a promising strategy to increase the nutrition delivery in these critically ill patients. Preliminary evidence indicates beneficial effects of combined PN and EN (PN + EN) in a general ICU population. These data demonstrated that the combined EN + PN strategy was effective to increase the nutrition delivery whereas such strategies have not been tested in cardiac surgery patients. Figure 1 illustrates an optimal perioperative nutrition support may be achieved by combining EN + PN in cardiac surgery patients at nutritional risk (Figure 1).

**BEYOND NUTRITIONAL SUPPORT**

Although overall mortality rates are low, increasing attention needs to be made on how to minimize morbidity in vulnerable cardiac surgery patients to ensure enhanced recovery after their procedure. These observations point out the importance of developing new strategies of combined nutrition and ICU-based rehabilitation to promote the physical recovery of these patients. Specifically, we must seek to develop strategies to identify risks, provide opportunities for preoperative optimization to prevent the loss of lean body mass, and accelerate functional recovery, especially in patients at risk of a prolonged ICU stay. In particular, patients with complex and combined surgical procedures are at increased risk for prolonged organ-supporting therapies and commonly develop neuromuscular abnormalities.

Conceptually, increasing evidence indicates that besides nutrition alone, combined preoperative and ICU-based exercise/rehabilitation interventions may further increase the clinical benefits of nutritional support. In conjunction with enhanced use of nutrients, this approach may help to prevent or attenuate ICU-acquired deconditioning, potentially mitigate delayed weaning from mechanical ventilation, and reduce extended ICU and hospital stays. Overall, this combined approach has the potential to reduce mortality rates, healthcare-related costs, and enhance early recovery after ICU admission. An international, multicenter, randomized controlled study (Support CSX...
study\cite{1} is currently being formed to evaluate the clinical significance of a combined early postoperatively initiated ICU nutritional support and rehabilitation therapy in cardiac surgery patients to reduce prolonged ICU stay.

**MORE EVIDENCE IS NEEDED FOR PATIENTS REQUIRING EXTRACORPOREAL MEMBRANE OXYGENATION OR VENTRICULAR ASSIST DEVICE SUPPORT**

Although the use of extracorporeal life support (ECLS), including venovenous and venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) and ventricular assist devices (VADs), has grown rapidly over the past decade, there is little evidence on how and when to start feeding these critically ill patients. Currently, little data are available to what extent the degree of ventricular assistance (nonpulsatile or semi-pulsatile blood flow) may result in (long-term) gastrointestinal changes with respect to mesenteric blood flow, nutrient absorption, and bowel integrity. In patients with VADs, preliminary studies have demonstrated that gastrointestinal dysfunction, including satiety and nausea, slow the esophageal transit time and gastric emptying during the early postoperative course.\cite{2,3} Although recent guidelines state that EN is feasible during ECLS, these data are based on only 4 case series in adult patients with ECLS.\cite{4,5} In addition, several observational studies have reported nutritional support practices in patients with ECLS and demonstrated that EN was the most commonly used route of feeding, whereas underfeeding of either energy or protein occurred in approximately one-third of the nutrition support days. Medical procedures (39.1\%) and gastric motility disorders (22.8\%) were the most common reasons for interruption of nutritional support.\cite{6,7} Although coated circuits enabled a decrease in systemic anticoagulation, patients on ECMO and patients with VADs more often present with (gastrointestinal) bleeding or thrombotic

![Figure 1. Perioperative nutrition support in high-risk cardiac surgery patients. A nutrition risk assessment should always be performed before initiation of nutrition support. Although a preoperative optimization could be achieved by oral intake (eg, oral nutrition supplements), a postoperative optimization may be achieved by a combined EN + PN feeding to decrease nutritional deficiencies. TNFa, Tumor necrosis factor alpha; CK-MB, creatine kinase-MB; IL-6, interleukin 6; CRP, C-reactive protein; PCT, procalcitonin; PN, parenteral nutrition; EN, enteral nutrition.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Window</th>
<th>Nutrition Strategy</th>
<th>Risk Assessment</th>
<th>Inflammatory Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>preoperative phase</td>
<td>enteral nutrition (EN)</td>
<td>hemodynamic instability</td>
<td>inflammatory response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intraoperative phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>postoperative phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
implantation, it may interfere with early EN strategies as it affects early EN strategies and potentially reduces EN rate while promoting the utilization goals through additional PN.

Because patients with VADs and chronic heart failure show an increased risk of micronutrient deficiency, particularly vitamins B, C, and D and trace elements, the proposed combined EN + PN strategy may further represent an effective concept to compensate these deficiencies and to bridge the phase of acute critically ill until patients have stabilized.

CONCLUSIONS

Although nutritional support is straightforward, easily doable, proven safe, and clinically relevant, it remains largely inadequate in the treatment of cardiac surgery patients and those on ECMO. In the absence of specific guidelines, international initiatives are starting to establish specific protocols and strategies for these patients. Among these, high-dense EN and EN + PN may represent effective strategies to bridge the phase of acute critical illness and to reduce the nutritional deficiencies to provide a faster recovery of high-risk cardiac surgery patients with prolonged ICU stay. Several nutrition studies and innovative strategies are currently being tested to enhance recovery and improve outcomes after cardiac surgery.
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