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The specific pattern of atrial lesions has undergone several modifications since the first Maze procedure (Maze I) was performed on September 25, 1987. Modifications that have adhered to the concept of a maze pattern have proven to be as effective as the original maze pattern and include the historical Maze II procedure and the contemporary Maze III and Maze IV procedures. However, modifications that have not adhered to the maze concept have been less effective and include pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) alone, left-sided lesions alone, the Wolf “mini-Maze” procedure, the Dallas lesion set, the “5-box Maze,” and many other less well-defined, arbitrary patterns. In addition, lesions based on modern, real-time maps have continued to be less successful than anatomy-based Maze procedures because the specific macro-reentrant patterns during atrial fibrillation (AF) on one day may not be the same on the following day.

The most common energy sources used to ablate AF surgically are radiofrequency (RF) energy and cryothermia (cryosurgery). Both types of bipolar RF clamps that are available to surgeons are excellent for creating permanent, transmural lesions, but not all critical parts of the atria are accessible to these clamps. For example, it is not possible to reach the left fibrous trigone with a bipolar clamp when performing the anterior left atrial lesion of the “Dallas” lesion set because the active portion of the clamps does not extend all the way to the tip of the clamps. This means that a cryolesion or unipolar RF must be added to the distal end of this lesion and to the right atrial lesion that extends to the tricuspid annulus. Cryosurgery is recommended to ablate the coronary sinus (CS), although in patients with dominant left coronary artery anatomy (90%), a bipolar clamp can be placed across the so-called watershed area of the left atrioventricular (AV) groove, where there is no coronary artery, to create the mitral line and the CS lesion simultaneously. The problem with this approach is that the mitral line will not reach the mitral annulus or the RF clamp tip extends across the annulus. If the anterior lesion of the “Dallas” lesion set or the mitral line of the Maze procedure is incomplete, these partial lines can result in peri-mitral atrial flutter. If the right atrial tricuspid line is incomplete, the patient can have classic right atrial flutter because of macro-reentry around the tricuspid valve annulus. Thus, if only 1 energy source is available for surgical AF ablation within a given institution, that energy source should be cryothermia because it is impossible to perform a complete Maze procedure reliably without using cryosurgery. Both cryosurgical devices that are available on the market are excellent, provided the surgeon knows how to use them.

It is the purpose of this article to clarify the currently recommended lesions that are designed to interrupt all macro-reentrant drivers in AF. It is the purpose of this article to clarify the currently recommended lesions that are designed to interrupt all macro-reentrant drivers in AF.

LEFT ATRIAL LESIONS

The Maze procedure requires a total of only 7 lesions in the left atrium (LA), including placement of an epicardial clip at the base of the LA appendage (LAA). The recommended sequence in the performance of the LA lesions is as follows:

Lesion 1: Isolation of the Left Pulmonary Veins
Before isolating the left pulmonary veins, the ligament of Marshall is identified and divided with an electrocautery. It
is easily identified by retracting the heart to the right to expose the left pulmonary veins. The left pulmonary veins are then encircled by blunt dissection. The left pulmonary veins are isolated as a pair using a bipolar RF clamp. The bipolar RF clamp is placed well up onto the left atrium (LA) to avoid later pulmonary vein stenosis. It is important to place the bipolar RF clamp well up onto the LA itself away from the orifices of the left pulmonary veins to avoid later pulmonary vein stenosis.

Left PVI alone has little or no effect on persistent or long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation (LSPAF) because it does not interrupt any of the known macro-reentrant drivers that sustain AF. However, placing this lesion through the LA tip and into the LSPV is technically easier than other methods for blocking conduction across the Coumadin ridge. This operative photograph shows how 1 arm of the bipolar RF clamp is placed inside and one outside the LA appendage and the distal end of the clamp is placed well into the LSPV to ensure that the Coumadin ridge has been crossed completely. The macro-reentrant AF driver that uses the Coumadin ridge and is interrupted by this lesion is a common cause of failure after catheter or surgical ablation of AF. LSPV: Left superior pulmonary vein; LAA, left atrial appendage.

Lesion 2: Left Superior Pulmonary Vein to Left Atrial Appendage Lesion

A lesion is created from the tip of the LAA well into the left superior pulmonary vein by placing 1 arm of a bipolar RF clamp through a purse-string suture or a small incision in the tip of the LAA (Figure 1, C). This lesion interrupts potential macro-reentrant drivers that use the so-called “Coumadin ridge” between these 2 structures (Figure 1, D).

Lesion 3: Closure of the Left Atrial Appendage

There are multiple ways to close the LAA during surgery. It can be amputated, but thrombosis of the suture line postoperatively is not uncommon after LAA amputation. Some prefer to close it with a stapling device, but the first randomized, control trial of this technique showed that stapling the LAA leaves residual pouches that can be thrombogenic and that epicardial suture closure fails to close the LAA completely. Murashita and colleagues reported excellent long-term follow-up results with their longitudinal endocardial double-layer plication of the LAA orifice. We prefer to close the LAA with an AtriClip (Atricure, Inc, Mason, Ohio), which not only accomplishes complete closure reliably but also electrically isolates the LAA myocardium. Nevertheless, there is an obvious
advantage in cost savings of LAA amputation over device closure.

**Lesion 4: Isolation of the Right Pulmonary Veins**

Waterston’s groove is developed fully by blunt dissection to expose the posterior-medial wall of the LA. A plane of dissection is established between the right superior pulmonary vein and the right pulmonary artery to expose the transverse sinus. The oblique sinus is opened below the inferior vena cava. The right pulmonary veins are then dissected free of any remaining nearby tissues and isolated by placing a bipolar RF clamp around them well up onto the LA to avoid later pulmonary vein stenosis (Figure 2, C). Again, this right PVI lesion alone does not significantly affect the macro-reentrant drivers that are responsible for sustaining persistent AF or LSPAF (Figure 2, D) but is an integral part of the overall maze lesion pattern. After isolation of the right pulmonary veins, the heart is arrested with cardioplegia and the LA is opened through a standard incision in Waterston’s groove.

**Lesion 5: Creation of a Box Lesion**

Separate isolation of the right and left pulmonary veins as pairs is converted to a so-called box lesion around all 4 pulmonary veins and the posterior wall of the LA by placing “roof” and “floor” connecting lesions between the 2 (Figure 3, A). The 2 connecting lesions can be performed with bipolar RF clamps or linear cryoprobes. Because of several potential macro-reentrant drivers that use the posterior LA wall during persistent AF and LSPAF, these lesions have a significant impact on the overall success rates of surgical intervention (Figure 3, B).

**Lesion 6: Coronary Sinus Lesion**

The combination of LA lesions 1 to 5 will stop much of the AF that accompanies primary left-heart problems such as ischemic heart disease, mitral or aortic valve disease, left ventricular failure, and systemic hypertension. However, PVI can cause an iatrogenic arrhythmia called “peri-mitral atrial flutter,” which occurs after PVI in approximately 10% to 15% of patients. Peri-mitral flutter is invariably less well tolerated than AF itself, and there are 2 theoretical ways of avoiding it. One way is to block all conduction across the left atrial isthmus between the mitral valve annulus and the inferior pulmonary veins, which will be described next. The other way is to block conduction across the anterior LA by placing a lesion from the superior portion of the box lesion anteriorly down to the left fibrous trigone, a part of the so-called Dallas lesion set. However, this anterior line frequently fails because it is difficult to create a fully transmural lesion in this area because of the thickness of Bachmann’s bundle, and it is also hard to reach all the...
way down to the fibrous trigone. Because incomplete lesions are proarrhythmic, we prefer the posterior approach directed at blocking conduction across the LA isthmus.

Because electrical conduction can occur across the LA isthmus not only in the atrial myocardium but also in the walls of the CS, it is necessary to create 2 separate, contiguous ablations to block conduction across the LA isthmus:

1. A “mitral line” in the atrial myocardium from the inferior part of the box lesion to the posterior mitral annulus.
2. A lesion in the CS in the same plane as the “mitral line.”

Because the mitral line and CS lesions must be placed in the same plane to be effective, we prefer to place the CS lesion before creating the mitral line. The tip of a slightly curved cryoprobe is placed directly against the epicardial surface of the heart just behind and below the inferior end of the left atriotomy. The tip of a
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**FIGURE 3.** A, Box lesion isolates the posterior wall of the LA that can play an integral part in sustaining persistent and long-standing persistent AF by serving as a conduit for macro-reentrant drivers between the 2 pairs of PVs. The roof and floor lesions between the 2 PV isolation lesions are created under cardioplegic arrest with a bipolar RF clamp or a linear cryoprobe. The roof lesion extends from the superior end of the left atriotomy to the LSPV. The floor lesion extends from the inferior end of the left atriotomy to the left inferior pulmonary vein. B, Completion of the box lesion results in the interruption of several macro-reentrant drivers responsible for persistent AF and LSPAF. C, The CS lesion is one half of the 2 lesions that are necessary to block conduction across the LA isthmus between the posterior mitral valve annulus and the inferior pulmonary veins. Macro-reentry using the LA isthmus is responsible for postoperative peri-mitral left atrial flutter (also called “atypical atrial flutter”), the most common mode of failure of the Maze procedure. A cryoprobe is placed behind the lower end of the left atriotomy with its tip directly against the epicardial surface of the CS. As the CS is frozen, an ice-ball appears on the inside (endocardial surface) of the LA, indicating that the CS lesion is transmural circumferentially. Because the ice-ball will disappear when the cryothermia is terminated, methylene blue dye is used to mark the exact site of the ice-ball during its formation. This is mandatory so that the surgeon will know exactly where to place the mitral line later because it is essential to place the mitral line and the CS lesion in the same plane. C. The CS lesion alone interrupts 1 of the 2 possible pathways of conduction across the LA isthmus, the CS itself. The other possible pathway of conduction is across the myocardium of the posterior-inferior LA myocardium and will be blocked with the mitral line. D. After creation of the CS lesion, the only left atrial macro-reentrant circuits that have been documented are one confined to the LA isthmus and one participating in peri-mitral atrial flutter with conduction through the myocardium of the LA isthmus. These 2 circuits are the reason that a “mitral line” is necessary in addition to the CS lesion. CS, Coronary sinus.
inside the LA provides a guide for subsequent creation of the mitral line in the same plane as the CS lesion.

Lesion 7: Mitral Line

A linear cryoprobe is placed from the inferior end of the left atriotomy, directly across the marked location of the previous endocardial ice-ball, down to the level of the mitral valve annulus to create the mitral line in the same plane as that of the CS lesion (Figure 4, A). Like the other left atrial lesions, cryothermia is continued for 2 full minutes when the heart is under cardioplegic arrest.

These 7 lesions complete the lesion set in the LA (Figure 4, B). In AF that occurs secondary to left-heart disease, that is, “concomitant AF,” these LA lesions alone are successful in ablating the majority of AF, although not equal to the results one can attain with a complete, biatrial Maze procedure. Deletion of the RA lesions in patients with concomitant AF associated with primary coronary artery, mitral valve, or aortic valve operations introduces an obligatory failure rate of between 10% and 30% depending on the duration of the AF and on performing the Maze procedure properly. Adding the RA lesions in the correct manner eliminates that obligatory failure rate and does not cause the patient to need permanent pacemakers more often postoperatively except by curing the AF and unmasking a sick sinus syndrome (SSS).

RIGHT ATRIAL LESIONS

Although it is customary to think of the LA and RA as being separate structures because they belong to different isolated circulatory systems, they are one continuum of muscle electrically, and they are both involved in AF. It is impossible to create a maze pattern in the atrial continuum without including lesions in the RA. The literature has consistently shown that elimination of the RA lesions results in poorer outcomes than can be attained with biatrial lesions. The only exceptions to that observation have occurred in patients in whom the left atrial lesions,
the right atrial lesions, or both were performed incorrectly or the individual lesions themselves were not transmural and contiguous.

Concern that the RA lesions cause an increased need for permanent pacemakers is the result of improperly placed RA lesions or misinterpretation of data.\(^{17-20}\) In our own series of patients from the 1980s and 1990s,\(^{21-23}\) 114 patients were documented to have normal sinus node function preoperatively and not one of them required a pacemaker after the Maze procedure. Approximately 5% of patients with paroxysmal AF have SSS preoperatively and will require a pacemaker after a Maze procedure. Approximately 10% of patients with persistent AF have SSS preoperatively and will require a pacemaker after surgery because of the “unmasking” of the SSS. Thus, the more successful AF ablation is, the higher the postoperative pacemaker requirements will be.\(^{17,18}\)

In 2009, Santucci and colleagues\(^{24}\) showed the 3 circuits that are responsible for typical atrial flutter in the RA. Typical atrial flutter results from a single macro-reentrant circuit that always uses the cavo-tricuspid isthmus (CTI) (Figure 4, C). The 3 variants are from the CTI around (1) the superior vena cava (SVC) orifice anteriorly, (2) the SVC orifice posteriorly, and (3) the tricuspid annulus.

Only 3 other macro-reentrant circuits have been documented in AF, one around the orifice of the SVC, one around the orifice of the inferior vena cava, and one around the base of the RA appendage. To ablate all of these circuits, it is necessary to create only 3 lesions in the RA (Figure 4, C).

**Lesion 1: Superior Vena Cava to Inferior Vena Cava Lesion**

This is a lesion from the orifice of the SVC to the orifice of the inferior vena cava. This intercaval lesion alone has essentially no impact on atrial flutter or AF, so adding it to the LA lesions accomplishes nothing by itself.

**Lesion 2: Right Atrial “T” Lesion**

The combination of the SVC inferior vena cava lesion and the “T-lesion” prevents all typical RA atrial flutter and most AF. This lesion is anchored low on the intercaval lesion and extends distally to the level of the tricuspid annulus. The anchoring point of the T-lesion on the intercaval lesion should be two thirds of the way down from the orifice of the SVC. The RA muscle fibers in this region are diagonal, and the T-lesion should be placed in parallel with those fibers, that is, in a diagonal, not a horizontal, direction toward the right AV groove. When the AV groove is reached, the remainder of the T-lesion must be extended down to the tricuspid valve annulus from the inside of the RA. The only way to be certain of completion of the “T” lesion to the true tricuspid valve annulus is with a cryoprobe.

When the T-lesion is added to the intercaval lesion, a CTI “flutter lesion” should never be performed because doing so isolates the lower one third of the RA from the rest of the heart and interferes with the ability to develop normal sinus bradycardia.\(^{18}\)

**Lesion 3: Right Atrial Appendage Lesion**

After completing the intercaval and T-lesions, the only place in the RA where a macro-reentrant circuit has been documented is around the base of the RA appendage. There are 2 ways to preclude the development of this macro-reentrant driver. One way is to place a lesion from the tip of the RA appendage medially down to the level of the tricuspid valve annulus, the so-called “10 o’clock lesion” or “counter-lesion,” which was recommended in the Maze II, Maze III, and Maze IV procedures. Unfortunately, many surgeons were uncomfortable creating this particular lesion because they believed it to be too close to the AV node and worried about creating heart block. Because the only reason this lesion was introduced with the Maze II procedure and retained in the Maze III and Maze IV procedures was to prevent macro-reentry around the base of the RA appendage,\(^{2,3,25}\) it was suggested that the same goal could be realized by moving the lesion to a more readily accessible location on the RA free-wall (Figure 4, C and D). Cheema and colleagues\(^{26}\) have performed more than 100 Maze IV procedures using this modification of the RA lesions without any change in the success rate or pacemaker requirements compared with the previous “10 o’clock” lesion of the Maze III and Maze IV procedures. The only important caveat is that this lesion must be placed as anteriorly as possible on the RA free-wall to avoid injury to the atrial pacemaker complex.\(^{18,27}\)

These 3 RA lesions are safe and simple to perform and can be completed in 10 to 15 minutes. All of them can be created after the aortic crossclamp has been released during the warm reperfusion period before weaning from cardiopulmonary bypass. This precludes any added pump time or crossclamp time, so the length of the operation is not increased by the addition of the RA lesions. All 3 RA lesions can be created through 2 separate purse-string sutures as originally described in 2000\(^{28}\) or by opening the RA for creation under direct vision as illustrated in Figure 4, C and D.
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