What to do when the acute type A aortic dissection involves the aortic sinuses
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In this issue of the Journal, Sievers and colleagues1 from Lübeck, Germany, report their examination of the results of surgery for acute type A aortic dissection with involvement of the aortic root in patients in whom the aortic root was preserved by means of reimplantation of the aortic valve (44 patients, mean age of 56.9 years, mean follow-up of 15.8 years); remodeling of the aortic root with replacement of 1, 2, or all 3 aortic sinuses (39 patients, mean age of 62.6 years, mean follow-up of 11.8 years); and repair of the dissected root with polytetrafluoroethylene felt with or without biologic glue (96 patients, mean age of 64.5 years, mean follow-up of 9.3 years). Sievers and colleagues1 found similar long-term outcomes for all groups with respect to survival and reoperation rates. They therefore concluded that less is as good as more; that is, root repair provided similar results to those seen with a more complex and extensive procedure, such as reimplantation of the aortic valve. Given the relatively small sample sizes of the subgroups, the high operative mortality associated with surgery for acute type A aortic dissection, and the differences in duration of follow-up, one has to take the interpretation of the results with caution, particularly the multivariable analyses of risk factors associated with survival (Table 3 in the article of Sievers and colleagues1).

As I have mentioned in previous writings on acute type A aortic dissection, surgery for this aortic catastrophe is often done by general cardiac surgeons who may not be familiar with such complex operative procedures as aortic valve-sparing operations, and for them the preservation of the aortic root with polytetrafluoroethylene felt and biologic glue is probably the safer procedure, since the main goal of this surgery is to save the patient’s life.2 Not only is this approach probably safer than a more complex procedure to save the aortic root, Sievers and colleagues1 now have provided data to indicate that it may be a preferable approach. Obviously, if the aortic cusps are diseased, aortic valve replacement with or without replacement of the aortic root is necessary.

Experienced aortic surgeons should deal with the acutely dissected aortic root as they do in elective cases and match the operative procedure to the aortic root pathology. Aortic valves with normal cusps or cusps with mild and easily correctable abnormalities should be preserved, regardless of how extensively the dissection involves the aortic sinuses. I believe that older patients (eg, >60 years of age) with a normal aortic annulus (eg, <25 mm in diameter) should have remodeling of the aortic root performed if only 1 aortic sinus is dissected. A tubular Dacron polyester fabric graft of diameter equal to the sinotubular junction can be tailored to replace the supracoronary ascending aorta and the dissected aortic sinus.3 If the dissection does not extend down to the aortoventricular junction, a more conservative approach with fibrin glue and external polytetrafluoroethylene felt reinforcement is adequate. Extensive dissection of 2 or 3 aortic sinuses is best treated with reimplantation of the aortic valve, because this provides a more hemostatic and durable reconstruction of the aortic root. Younger patients (eg, <60 years of age), patients with a dilated aortic annulus (eg, >25 mm in diameter) are best treated with reimplantation of the aortic valve.
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