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What’s in a number? Age may be that, and as surgeons we are familiar with the notion that older patients have less reserve. As such, older patients face challenges that are different than those faced by younger ones after cardiac surgery. In recent years, there has been increasing focus on quantifying this surgical reserve, and surgical risk calculators and frailty indices may be helpful tools. Worse functional status and a higher burden of comorbidities go hand in hand with older age, and all these factors may influence the postsurgical outcome.

In this issue of the Journal, Wanamaker and colleagues present a single-center experience with proximal aortic surgery in an elderly population. Between the years of 2002 and 2013, a total of 415 patients older than 70 years underwent surgery for a variety of aortic pathologies, including aneurysmal disease, porcelain aorta, and type A dissection. In their study, Wanamaker and colleagues compared the outcomes of 2 different age groups: septuagenarians and those older than 80 years. Overall, the burden of comorbidities was higher in the octogenarians, and their operative mortality was also higher. In their statistical analysis, Wanamaker and colleagues found that age alone was not an independent predictor of operative mortality; rather, the etiology of aortic disease and severity of comorbidities were independent predictors. Not unexpectedly, they also found an association between advanced age and impaired long-term survival. Wanamaker and colleagues concluded that proximal aortic surgery can be performed safely and with reasonable outcomes in both septuagenarians and octogenarians, and that advanced age alone should not be an absolute contraindication for surgery.

Wanamaker and colleagues’ work holds particular importance in an era of an actively aging North American population. The downstream effect is that surgeons are likely to encounter more of these elderly patients under both elective and urgent circumstances, and knowing when to operate and on whom is important. Recent reports suggest that acceptable results and comparable operative mortality can be achieved in elderly patients undergoing complex cardiac operations, which is a testament to advances at multiple levels, including anesthetic, surgical, and postsurgical care. But careful patient selection is likely the key to success, and this particular study does not guide the reader regarding how best to identify patients who will benefit from surgery. This decision making, or clinical judgment, is where the science of medicine meets its art.

The findings in this study align with previous reports showing higher operative mortality and late mortality in the elderly population; after controlling for comorbidities and operative indication, however, 30-day mortality and readmission rates in this study were not statistically different between septuagenarians and octogenarians. This suggests that advanced age confers operative risk only to the extent that it correlates with a higher comorbidity burden and higher risk aortic pathology.

The study carries 2 significant limitations beyond those intrinsic to its design, both of which are acknowledged. One of these is the lack of younger patients as a control group; given the paucity of younger patients without connective tissue disorders or previous operation presenting with indications for proximal aortic surgery, however, this type of data may be neither feasible to gather nor clinically significant. The second is lack of data on similar pathologies in age-matched control subjects who...
were turned away from the operating room either at initial presentation or after surgical consultation. This limits the reader’s ability to determine whether surgery is the correct option even for a patient with advanced age and low comorbidity burden.

Nevertheless, the study does demonstrate that although advanced age may go hand in hand with higher comorbidity burden and a greater likelihood for postsurgical discharge to a rehabilitation facility, for carefully selected patients, age may not predict operative risk in proximal aortic surgery.
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