Early extubation after cardiac surgery: The evolution continues

Hilary P. Grocott, MD, FRCPC, FASE

Just as cardiovascular surgery has continually evolved through the years, so has the practice of cardiac anesthesia. Long gone are the days of high-dose, opiate-based anesthesia, once thought to be beneficial to patients in blunting the stress response to surgery and avoiding hemodynamic instability. Indeed, fundamental changes in anesthetic management started decades ago, when the concept of fast-track cardiac anesthesia first appeared in the literature.1 This was a revolutionary concept, as patients previously were routinely ventilated for as long as 12 to 24 hours after even the most routine cardiac surgery. Since that time, however, a number of groups have continued to reduce the time to extubation,2 and the term ultrafast cardiac anesthesia is now considered to be defined by extubation in the operating room itself.

Coincident with changes in anesthetic techniques that have allowed the very early extubation of patients, there has also been a change in the characteristics of patients undergoing cardiac surgery. It is well known that patients are now much older and sicker that they were in the past. Furthermore, advances in percutaneous coronary intervention have considerably reduced the number of patients who are candidates for cardiac surgery, often leaving us to operate on the frailest and sickest patients, and those with the most complex coronary anatomy. As such, tools are needed to aid in identifying the patients who would optimally be chosen for early extubation, and that the ultrafast cardiac anesthesia is now considered to be defined by extubation in the operating room itself.

The characteristics are in some respects very obvious (eg, younger age and simpler operation); however, one can easily make the argument that all patients, irrespective of the procedure or the patient characteristics, should be treated right from the start as if they can be extubated in the operating room. The decision to extubate patients should likely be made once the operation is nearing completion, in part informed by the overall success of the operation. The many nuances and complications that may have occurred during the procedure (eg, significant residual myocardial dysfunction or incomplete revascularization, coagulopathy, or other coinciding end-organ dysfunction, such as acute lung injury) should all factor into the decision whether extubation in the operating room is possible.

Surgeons need to understand that the angst regarding the initial safety of fast-track cardiac anesthesia (when patients were extubated within 6-8 hours of cardiac surgery) quickly went away once the advantages of early extubation were appreciated. Indeed, it can be just as safe to do this immediately in the operating room with the right types of patients. Furthermore, there is even some evidence that suggests that these patients who are extubated early may have fewer postoperative complications, including postoperative delirium.4 Clearly not all patients will be appropriate for extubation in the operating room—for example, patients with significant obstructive sleep apnea, for whom residual opiates may further complicate the apnea, would not be ideal candidates.5 Risk scoring systems such as that outlined by Subramaniam and colleagues,3 however, will help guide these decisions.

In summary, cardiac surgery will continue to evolve, with the boundaries of what is possible continually being pushed. So too will the practice of cardiac anesthesia, including the potential for extubating in the operating room.
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