Technique for myocardial protection in pulmonary atresia intact ventricular septum
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How to best protect the myocardium is a challenging situation that arises when a patient with pulmonary atresia intact ventricular septum (PA-IVS) and right ventricular dependent coronary circulation (RVDCC) requires an intracardiac procedure. We describe a simple, effective technique that addresses this problem.

CLINICAL SUMMARY

A premature (35 weeks) 2.1-kg male neonate with a diagnosis of PA-IVS was successfully resuscitated from cardiac arrest during coronary angiography that demonstrated RVDCC—right ostial atresia, circumflex, and distal left anterior descending coronary artery supplied by the right ventricle. Prior echocardiography had demonstrated multiple sinusoids, tortuous duct, diminutive right ventricle, and a tricuspid valve z score of -4.7. The patient was managed with prostaglandin E1 and beta blockade and listed status 1A for cardiac transplantation. The absence of a suitable donor organ, progressive desaturation, and acceptable pulmonary vascular resistance led to the decision to proceed to a Glenn procedure at age 3 months. Interval echocardiography demonstrated proximal left pulmonary artery stenosis and right-to-left bowing of the atrial septum with small interatrial communication. On the latter issue, a lengthy multidisciplinary discussion of the various options led to the plan of concomitant surgical septectomy.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

The patient was supported on cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) with cannulation of the innominate vein, inferior vena cava, and aorta and the duct was closed. As is customary in these circumstances, venous drainage was closely regulated to keep the heart full and ejecting. Using a bifurcated cardioplegia line, preparations were made to simultaneously administer cardioplegia (del Nido) into the aortic root and through the tricuspid valve into the right ventricle (Figure 1). With aortic crossclamp, antegrade cardioplegia was initiated, caval snares secured, and through a limited right atriotomy a 6 Fr cannula (approximately twice the diameter of the aortic root catheter) connected to the bifurcated line was inserted through the tricuspid valve and maintained in position under direct vision (this was accomplished in < 10 seconds). With infusion, the tricuspid valve remained competent and a smooth arrest was achieved and all areas of the myocardium appeared well perfused. Septectomy, patch reconstruction of the central and left pulmonary artery, Glenn procedure, and atrial closure were completed in the usual manner. With release of the aortic clamp, the heart promptly resumed sinus rhythm, CPB was managed as previously with no signs of ischemia, and the procedure completed without incident. The patient continues to do well as an outpatient at age 9 months and remains listed as status II.

DISCUSSION

Despite being a source of much consternation among surgeons, very little has been published on the topic of managing CPB for patients with PA-IVS and RVDCC. The consensus is that the heart should be kept full to avoid decompression of the right ventricle. Anecdotally, this seems to work well for most surgeons and few would be willing to knowingly adopt a decompressive CPB strategy given the potential consequences. To our knowledge, the description by Asou and colleagues of bicaval drainage...
and atrial infusion of oxygenated blood is perhaps the only report specifically directed at conduct of CPB. This and any other “full right heart” approach is clearly not suitable for an intracardiac procedure. Fortunately, the vast majority of patients can be managed to either cardiac transplantation or Fontan palliation without the need for cardioplegic arrest. In fact, it may be argued that we could have avoided this as well with an earlier catheter-based procedure for the septum. Regardless, the approach we used was easy and seemed to work exceptionally well. This technique presents 2 additional considerations. First, even if cardioplegic arrest is not required for an intracardiac procedure, this approach may be of benefit if ischemia develops on-pump, despite regulation of venous drainage—well administered cardioplegia may be superior to the heart full and beating with ongoing ischemia. Second, although not directly related to the present case, it is our opinion that a successful CPB and cardioplegic strategy for PA-IVS with RVDCC may have implications for hypoplastic left heart syndrome with aortic atresia and mitral stenosis with sinusoids—avoiding an empty left ventricle and dual delivery of cardioplegia into the aorta and the mitral valve may optimize myocardial protection in this potentially at-risk group.
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