Anatomic and flow dynamic considerations for safe right axillary artery cannulation
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Objectives: Neuroprotection is of paramount interest in cardiac surgery. Right axillary artery cannulation is well established in aortic surgery because it significantly improves survival and outcome, but malperfusion of the right brain after direct cannulation has been reported. Anatomically, 4 vessel segments are potentially amenable for cannulation of the subclavian and axillary arteries. Clinical studies vary widely in dissection sites and cannulation techniques. We investigated critical flow dynamics in the right brain caused by arterial inflow after direct cannulation and specified cannulation positions that provide optimal cerebral perfusion.

Methods: Distances from the lateral margin of the axillary artery and the subclavian artery to the origin of the vertebral artery were measured in 14 human corpses by a flexible ruler. We calculated the hemodynamics within the vertebral artery, depending on different positions of the cannula tip, in a computer-calculated model.

Results: The mean distance from the axillary artery to the vertebral artery was 8.5 cm, and the mean distance from the subclavian artery to the vertebral artery was 6.7 cm. Computed flow calculations demonstrated reversed flow in the vertebral artery when the cannula tip was positioned too close to its orifice. To ensure safe supra-aortic flow, a cannula can be inserted securely up to 6.0 cm into the axillary artery and 4.2 cm into the subclavian artery.

Conclusions: Direct cannulation of the right axillary artery can lead to cerebral malperfusion, caused by an obstruction of the vertebral artery’s orifice by the arterial cannula or a subclavian steal phenomenon due to flow reversal. The safety of direct axillary artery cannulation can be improved by a well-considered dissecting site and insertion length of the cannula.

The subclavian artery (SA) is increasingly used for arterial inflow in surgery of the ascending aorta and aortic arch because neurologic complications and mortality1-3 can be reduced. Until now, the optimal cannulation technique has been under debate.1

Further decrease of neurologic impairment has been reported by several groups using a side graft for cannulation of the SA.4-7 However, in these studies cannulation of the axillary artery (AA) and SA was performed without discriminating the results related to either cannulation site. Moreover, the types of cannulas used, insertion techniques (eg, routine use of a guidewire or not), and dissection sites varied widely.

As shown in Figure 1, there are 4 anatomically defined segments potentially amenable for cannulation of the SA or AA: (1) the distal segment of the SA, which is located between the clavicle and the first rib; (2) the proximal segment of the AA, defined by the lateral margin of the first rib to the medial margin of the minor pectoralis muscle; (3) the middle segment of the AA (vessel part dorsal the minor pectoralis muscle); and (4) the distal segment of the AA (lateral margin of the minor pectoralis muscle to the lower margin of the major pectoralis muscle).

The classic surgical exposure of the distal SA and the proximal AA is achieved by an access parallel to the clavicle.8 When dissecting the distal segment of the SA just beneath the major pectoralis muscle, the tip of a directly inserted cannula may come in close proximity to the orifice of the vertebral artery (VA). Because of the flexibility of the surrounding tissue in this area, it is difficult to measure the distance to the VA exactly once the vessel is looped and tension is exerted to expose the artery. Within the segment of the VA’s origin, the SA changes its course from central upward to outward and downward. This fact might aggravate local flow disturbance when the tip of the arterial cannula is positioned within this potentially critical area.

We performed the present study to analyze critical flow dynamics in the right supra-aortic vessels caused by AA inflow after direct cannulation. Our aim was the specification
peripheral resistance and the total peripheral resistance in the periphery. Thus, a gradient in blood flow between the proximal and distal areas of the body is established. In general, the blood flow in the periphery is greater than the blood flow in the brain, heart, and liver. However, when the blood flow in the periphery is reduced, the blood flow in the brain, heart, and liver is increased. This is because the blood flow in the periphery is dependent on the peripheral resistance, and the blood flow in the brain, heart, and liver is dependent on the arterial pressure and the total peripheral resistance. Therefore, when the peripheral resistance is increased, the blood flow in the periphery is reduced, and the blood flow in the brain, heart, and liver is increased. This is the mechanism of arterial hypotension.

The present study was undertaken to specify safe cannulation sites with optimal cerebral perfusion after direct AA cannulation. We measured a mean distance of 8.5 cm from the AA and 6.7 cm from the SA to the origin of VA. Critical flow dynamics in the right supra-aortic vessels could be observed if the tip of the arterial cannula was in close position to the VA's origin or if it blocked the VA's orifice. Although selective cerebral perfusion through AA cannulation has proven advantages by reducing neurologic complications and mortality in aortic arch surgery, the technique of AA cannulation itself seems to have an impact on neurologic outcome. Many groups prefer to anastomose a side graft to the artery. We routinely use this technique if extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support is needed in patients with cardiac and respiratory failure. However, we and others observed a relative
hyperperfusion of the right arm in some cases, usually when the artery had a small caliber. This indicates that flow distribution to the body is uncertain once a double outflow is created, and thus effective body perfusion might be reduced.

This problem could be avoided by the direct cannulation technique, and at least for the duration of a complicated surgical procedure, there are no reports of serious ischemia of the cannulated arm. The collateral system in this area is strong, so critical malperfusion is not anticipated. However, arterial cannulation via a side graft might reduce the risk for local complications. We prefer direct cannulation of the AA. Cases of severe sclerosis prohibiting direct cannulation are rare. If direct insertion is not feasible, cannulation is attempted with the help of a guidewire and abandoned if the risk of trauma to the vessel is too high.

Our flow dynamic computer model provides new information regarding the perfusion characteristics of the right VA and SA. Because the right VA usually arises from the SA, cases of a VA origin in the bifurcation of the brachiocephalic trunk were not taken into consideration and simulated. Compared with direct aortic cannulation, a slight hyperperfusion of the right carotid artery can be observed during axillary inflow.

We simulated several perfusion scenarios with different positions of the cannula tip that might occur after SA cannulation. Position of the cannula tip at the orifice of the

FIGURE 1. Anatomic preparation of an 80-year-old subject. Dissection and arterial cannulation of the distal segment of the right AA with its relation to the VA (red pin), brachial plexus, and minor pectoralis muscle (margins are marked by solid lines). The cannula is inserted into the distal AA. The dashed lines describe the course of the SA. Because the major pectoralis muscle is not divided from the clavicle, the distal segment of the SA is hidden. BP, Brachial plexus; dAA, distal segment of the right axillary artery; mAA, middle segment of the right axillary artery; mPM, minor pectoralis muscle; pAA, proximal segment of the right axillary artery; SA, subclavian artery; VA, vertebral artery.

FIGURE 2. Changes of the intravascular flow dynamics within the right VA depending on 2 different positions (position 1 = upper figure and 2 = lower figure) of the arterial cannula tip within the right SA, right VA, and right carotid artery. The color of the arrows shows the velocity. Position 1 demonstrates negative intravascular flow when the arterial cannula is positioned within the orifice of the right VA.

TABLE 1. Distances of different arterial cannulation sites to the orifice of the right vertebral artery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dAA (total)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dAA (male)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>7.92-9.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dAA (female)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>7.61-8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA (total)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA (male)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>5.70-7.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA (female)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>5.57-6.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shown are the distances of different arterial cannulation sites to the origin of the right VA. Measurements were taken in 14 human corpses (7 male and 7 female), and results are presented in centimeters. dAA, End of the distal segment of the right axillary artery; SA, end of the distal segment of the right subclavian artery.
VA may cause a steal phenomenon by reversing blood flow. This model-based observation might lead to an imbalanced cerebral perfusion and therefore be a reason for the neurologic injuries observed in an in vivo\textsuperscript{15} or in vitro model.\textsuperscript{16} This potential danger might be aggravated in cases of close proximity of the right carotid and VA. In 2008, Shin and coworkers\textsuperscript{17} published a morphometric study that demonstrated a distance between the right common carotid artery and the right VA that ranged from 0.0 to 23.3 mm. There are several other influencing factors, such as arterial diameter, cannula size, and flow through the cannula that have to be taken into account, but a detailed analysis of all these factors or a calculation of all anatomic variations with respect to their influence on vertebral flow was not the aim of this study. It rather provides information concerning the possibility of reversed vertebral flow for cannula positions too close to the VA in correspondence with clinical experience.

The relationship between cannula size and artery is of major importance. Under optimal conditions, the cannula should be nearly the size of the artery, as assumed in the numeric model. A larger cannula would reduce the jet effect but cannot be used because of physiologic constraints. A smaller cannula would cause an even stronger jet effect and thereby most likely increase the effect of suction from the VA. However, the numeric model provides the possibility to test novel cannula designs for their potential to neglect the suction effect and thereby increase patient outcome. This design development is currently ongoing.

An advantage of the SA cannulation in arch surgery is the possibility of continuous cerebral perfusion\textsuperscript{1,18} and even the avoidance of deep hypothermia.\textsuperscript{19} For surgery in patients at high risk for cardiac or aortic emboli, subclavian cannulation avoids embolization into the right brain and even limits emboli to the left hemisphere.\textsuperscript{20} A burst of atheroemboli can be detected by transcranial Doppler during direct aortic cannulation.\textsuperscript{21} For both of these reasons, we started to use axillary cannulation in patients with increased risk for cerebral complications. In more than 100 such patients, we were able to avoid major focal cerebral lesions.

The present investigation indicates that negative flow dynamics within the right VA might be avoided by moving the cannulation site laterally. Nevertheless, anatomic variation of the Willis circle has to be taken into account as an important influencing factor.\textsuperscript{22}

Because the anatomic distance between the middle segment of the AA is more than 7 cm on average and at least 5.5 cm, a save position can be achieved by cannulation of the AA at the minor pectoralis muscle and an insertion length of 3 to 4 cm. The diameter of the artery still usually allows insertion of an 18F cannula, keeping mean arterial line pressure at 324 mm Hg even at pump flows at 3 L/min/m\textsuperscript{2}. The lateral dissection is more direct and technically easier than the exposure of the distal SA segment. No muscles have to be transected, the course is more superficial, there is less danger to the pectoral nerve, and the point of encircling can be chosen medial or lateral to the minor pectoralis muscle, wherever it is more convenient. The distal segment is in close proximity to the fasciculi of the brachial plexus, but those can usually easily be dissected off bluntly. We initially observed 2 patients with palsy of the right hand in our series of more than 100 patients, but when the problem became overt, more careful dissection at these point avoided further complications of this kind. In our series of distal subclavian cannulation, we observed 1 symptomatic transection of the pectoral nerve. The surgical technique, such as using a side graft or not, performance of cannula insertion with or without guide wire, type of cannula, and position of the cannulation site, can have an impact on neurologic complications.

**Study Limitations**

For our numeric calculation, the following limitations must be considered: One important restriction of the described setup is the model of rigid walls, so elastic vascular tones cannot be considered. As a matter of principle, compliance was therefore always neglected, which can lead to imprecision. This shortcoming cannot be verified by experimental testing, because walls are inelastic too. Moreover, in vivo circumstances corresponding to our model-based terms have to be proven.

The anatomic preparation does not exactly resemble the surgical dissection, the amount of retraction of the artery after encircling with loops is especially difficult to measure. However, measurements of the anatomic distances are precise. The diameter of the vessel is based on the reconstruction, which might affect the absolute but not the relative flow values. Therefore, the results for the relative cannula position are still valid.

**FIGURE 3.** Intravascular mass flow (L/min) depending on different distances (mm) of the arterial cannula tip. Negative distance values mean that the cannula’s tip is already positioned beyond the VA’s origin. The data show that a negative intravascular mass flow within the right VA can be observed when the cannula is directly positioned within the dexter VA origin or beyond that.
Furthermore, the number of 14 examined corpses is too low to make definite conclusions. Further investigations should be undertaken to investigate the influence of the dissection site, insertion length of the cannula, and other factors on cerebral perfusion.

CONCLUSIONS

Our anatomic and flow dynamic evaluations indicate that an interference of the arterial cannula tip and the VA’s origin might cause a subclavian steal phenomenon. Reversed intravascular blood flow can lead to cerebral malperfusion after direct SA or AA cannulation. A more protective arterial inflow could be achieved by a more lateral insertion, close to the middle segment of the AA. The avoidance of manipulation of a sclerotic aorta and a washout of debris by the reversed flow in the brachiocephalic trunk might be an additional beneficial factor.
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