Angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism is a risk factor for thoracic aortic aneurysm in patients with bicuspid or tricuspid aortic valves
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Objective: The angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) is highly expressed in the aneurysmal vascular wall, in both animal models and human disease. Genetic variations in ACE could be crucial in determining the risk of thoracic aortic aneurysm (TAA). The aim of the present study was to examine the role of ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism on the risk of TAA in patients with bicuspid aortic valves or tricuspid aortic valves.

Methods: We enrolled 216 patients (158 men; age, 58.9 ± 14.9 years) with TAA, associated with bicuspid aortic valves (n = 105) and tricuspid aortic valves (n = 111) compared with 312 patients (252 men; age, 54.6 ± 11.0 years) with angiographically proven coronary artery disease and 300 healthy controls (91 men; age, 40.4 ± 10.5 years).

Results: The genotype distribution of ACE insertion/deletion was significantly different between the patients with TAA compared with both the control group (P = .0005) and the coronary artery disease group (P = .03). The genotypes were not different between the control group and the coronary artery disease group (P = .3). Compared with the controls, both the bicuspid aortic valve patients (P = .0008) and tricuspid aortic valve patients (P < .0001) had a greater frequency of allele D. The aortic diameters were significantly different among the three genotypes (48.3 ± 6.6, 45.3 ± 8.9, 39.9 ± 8.7 for the DD, DI, and II genotypes, respectively; P = .0002). A synergistic effect between the ACE D allele and hypertension was found for both an increased aortic diameter (P = .003) and the risk of TAA (P < .001). On multivariate logistic regression analysis, D allele (odds ratio, 3.0; 95% confidence interval, 1.1–8.1; P = .03) was a significant predictor of TAA.

Conclusions: ACE insertion/deletion polymorphism represents a genetic biomarker for TAA. These findings could have a significant effect on both the early detection and effective pharmacologic treatment of aortic disease. (J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012;144:390-5)

Thoracic aortic aneurysm (TAA), involving the ascending aorta, is a highly fatal cardiovascular disorder.1 Aneurysms of the ascending thoracic aorta most often result from cystic medial degeneration, associated with tricuspid aortic valves (TAVs) or congenital bicuspid aortic valves (BAVs). Clinical studies have also shown that ascending aortic dilatation occurs more frequently and at a younger age in patients with BAVs than it does in patients with normal TAVs.1-3 During the past decade, several studies have investigated the genetic basis of TAA, focusing on its relation to systemic connective tissue disorders, such as Marfan syndrome and Ehlers–Danlos syndrome, and/or familial cases with an autosomal dominant pattern.1-4 Several mutations and loci have been identified, and additional studies to identify the specific genes involved in the pathogenesis of familial but nonsyndromic TAA are in progress.4,5 Common genetic variants could also play a role in the occurrence and progression of TAA, but they are largely unknown.5 The identification of the biochemical and genetic biomarkers is a fundamental strategy to monitor the development and progression of the aortic diameter and to tailor the medical and surgical approaches to this serious condition.2,6 The renin-angiotensin system (RAS) has been suggested to be crucial in the development of both abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs) and TAA.7 Genetic variability in the RAS might, thus, play a role in the development of TAA, allowing individualized treatment of patients at risk of acute aortic syndromes. Recent studies have shown that the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism represents a marker of increased susceptibility to AAAs.8-10 The aim of the present study was...
to examine the role of ACE I/D polymorphism in the development of TAAs in patients with BAVs and TAVs.

METHODS

Subjects
From January 2008 to March 2010, we enrolled 216 patients (158 men; age 58.9 ± 14.9 years) who were referred to our cardiac surgery department for TAA, associated with BAVs (n = 105) or TAVs (n = 111). Of these 216 patients, 90 had limited dilatation (maximal aortic diameter <45 mm), and 126 had a large aneurysm (aortic diameter ≥45 mm), estimated using computed tomography scans and/or angiography. Of the 216 patients, 90 had limited dilatation (maximal aortic diameter <45 mm), and 126 had a large aneurysm (aortic diameter ≥45 mm), estimated using computed tomography scans and/or angiography. Of the patients with an aneurysm (aortic diameter ≥45 mm) 53 (42%) and 73 (58%) had BAVs or TAVs, respectively. A total of 167 patients underwent elective surgery for replacement of the ascending aorta and/or aortic valve replacement.

We also included a group of 312 patients (252 men; age, 54.6 ± 11.0 years) with angiographically proven coronary artery disease (CAD).

A group of 300 healthy subjects (91 men; age, 40.4 ± 10.5 years), who were members of the medical and technical staff of our institution, and who were negative for any clinical evidence of cardiovascular disease, was used as the control group.

A complete history, including cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia, was collected from all patients. The following definitions were used for the risk factors: hypertension, blood pressure =140/90 mm Hg (confirmed by measurements on several occasions), or antihypertensive therapy; and dyslipidemia, low-density lipoprotein 130 mg/dL or more, high-density lipoprotein less than 35 mg/dL, triglycerides 200 mg/dL or more, or the use of lipid-lowering medications. Current smokers were defined as patients with moderate or heavy use of cigarettes (≥3 cigarettes/day) at entry into the study. Former smokers were defined as the participants who had been smoking regularly, at least 3 cigarettes/day and who had quit smoking for at least 6 months at enrollment. Nonsmokers were defined as patients who had never smoked before admission. The smoker group combined the past and current smokers. Accordingly, each risk factor was coded as either present or absent. Those with Marfan syndrome and traumatic aneurysms were excluded from the present study.

The aortic diameters were measured by imaging only in the patients referred to our cardiac surgery department for TAA. All participants provided informed consent, and the local ethical research committee approved the study.

Detection of ACE Polymorphism
Genotyping analysis was performed by our laboratory staff, who were unaware of the clinical data. Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood leukocytes.

The ACE I/D polymorphism was genotyped as previously described in published protocols. In brief, polymerase chain reaction was performed with 10 pmol of each primer: sense oligo 5'-CTG GAG ACC ACT CCC ATC TTT CTT CTT-3' and anti-sense oligo 5'-GAT GTG GCC TTC TTC GTC AGA T-3'. In a final volume of 50 μL, containing 3 mM of magnesium chloride, 50 mM of potassium chloride, 10 mM of Tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane hydrochloride (pH 8.4), 0.1 mg/mL of gelatin, 0.5 mM of deoxynucleotide triphosphates, and 1 unit of Taq polymerase. The DNA was amplified for 30 cycles with denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 58°C for 1 minute, and extension at 72°C for 2 minutes. The ACE I/D polymorphism consists of the presence/absence of a 287-bp DNA fragment corresponding to an Ala repetitive sequence in the intron 16 of the ACE gene, determining the insertion (I) and deletion (D) allele.

The polymerase chain reaction products corresponding to the insertion (480 bp) or deletion (193 bp) allele were analyzed on 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses of the data were performed with the StatView statistical package, version 5.0.1 (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, Calif).

Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Differences in noncontinuous variables were tested by chi-square analysis. Differences between the mean of the 2 continuous variables were evaluated using Student t test. The data for three different groups were analyzed using analysis of variance, and significant differences among pairs of the mean values were tested using Scheffe’s test.

The Scheffe test was chosen for multiple comparisons, because it is very robust for the violations of the assumptions that are typically associated with multiple comparisons procedures. Interaction terms were also introduced in the model to examine the interaction of the genotypes with hypertension. We calculated the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the association of the ACE I/D polymorphism with the presence of TAA using unconditional logistic regression analysis. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the ORs after adjustment for the other vascular risk factors. Power calculations showed that the study sample was able to detect an association between ACE I/D polymorphism and TAA with ORs of 2.5 to 3.0, based on a deletion allele frequency of 0.6.

RESULTS

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are reported in Table 1. Age (P < .0001) and the proportion of men (P < .0001) were significantly greater in the TAA group than in the control group. The prevalence of smoking (P = .04), hypertension (P < .0001), and dyslipidemia (P < .0001) was also significantly greater in the TAA and CAD patients than in the control subjects (Table 1). The prevalence of atherogenic risk factors (including age, gender, hypertension, diabetes, cigarette smoking, dyslipidemia) was also significantly greater in the CAD group than in the control group (Table 1). Age (P = .0002) and the incidence of hypertension (P = .04) were significantly greater in the TAA group than in the CAD group (Table 1).

The ACE I/D genotype distribution of the study population is summarized in Table 2. The genotype distribution of ACE I/D was significantly different between the patients with TAA and both the control (P = .0005) and the CAD (P = .03) group. No significant difference was found in the genotype frequency between the control group and the CAD group (P = .3).
TABLE 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>TAA group (n = 216)</th>
<th>CAD group (n = 312)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 300)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>58.9 ± 14.9*</td>
<td>54.6 ± 11.0*</td>
<td>40.4 ± 10.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>158 (73.1)*</td>
<td>252 (80.8)*</td>
<td>91 (30.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habit</td>
<td>89 (41.2)*</td>
<td>203 (65.1)*</td>
<td>95 (31.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>14 (6.5)</td>
<td>31 (9.9)</td>
<td>10 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>63 (29.2)*</td>
<td>230 (73.7)*</td>
<td>27 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>112 (51.8)*</td>
<td>134 (42.9)*</td>
<td>29 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date presented as mean ± standard deviation or numbers, with percentages in parentheses. TAA, Thoracic aortic aneurysm; CAD, coronary artery disease. *P < .0001 vs controls. |P < .01 vs CAD. |P < .05 vs CAD. |P < .05 vs controls. ||P < .0001 vs CAD.

The ACE deletion allele was significantly more frequent in both BAV (64%, P = .0008) and TAV (64%, P < .0001) patients than in the control group (57%).

When patients were stratified by the presence of a large aneurysm (aortic diameter ≥45 mm), the ACE deletion allele was still significantly more frequent in both BAV (71%, P = .03) and TAV (71%, P = .001) patients than in the control group (57%).

Univariate logistic regression analysis demonstrated that ACE I/D polymorphism was related to nearly a threefold increased TAA risk in both the dominant and the additive genetic models (Table 3).

The aortic diameter was also significantly different among the three genotypes (48.3 ± 6.6, 45.3 ± 8.9, and 39.9 ± 8.7 for the DD, DI, and II genotypes, respectively; P = .0002). Patients with the DD (P = .0003) and ID (P = .003) genotypes had significantly greater aortic diameters than patients with the II genotype. Also, a significant difference (P = .04) was found in the DD versus ID patients (Figure 1).

The aortic diameters were also significantly different among the three genotypes for both BAV and TAV patients (Figure 1). Furthermore, we found a synergistic effect between the ACE deletion allele and hypertension (Figure 2) for both increased aortic diameter (P = .03) and the risk of TAA (P = .001). On multivariate logistic regression analysis, hypertension (OR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.0–6.1; P = .02), age (OR, 1.0; 95% CI, 1.0–1.1; P = .03), male gender (OR, 2.6; 95% CI, 1.2–5.6; P = .02), and deletion allele (OR, 3.0; 95% CI, 1.1–8.1; P = .03) were significant determinants of TAA.

DISCUSSION

The present study showed that the ACE deletion allele is significantly associated with both a large aortic diameter and an increased risk of TAA. In addition, we observed a synergic effect between the ACE I/D polymorphism and hypertension on the risk of TAA.

RAS, ACE I/D Polymorphism, and Aortic Aneurysms

Increasing data have consistently reported that inappropriate activity of the RAS is an underlying cause of aortic aneurysmal disease. Increasing data have consistently reported that inappropriate activity of the RAS is an underlying cause of aortic aneurysmal disease. For instance, infusion of angiotensin II in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice induced large aneurysm formation independently of blood pressure changes. In addition, administration of losartan, the angiotensin type 1 antagonist, completely attenuated the aortic pathology in a Marfan mouse model. Angiotensin II is known to play a crucial role in the remodeling of vascular tissues by activation of growth factors and cytokines, in addition to the regulation of blood pressure.

Angiotensin II also increases transforming growth factor-β transcription and synthesis and induces an increase in matrix metalloproteinases activity, leading to inflammation, extracellular matrix degradation, and apoptosis. ACE plays a key role in the RAS, because it generates the active octapeptide angiotensin II from the decapeptide angiotensin I.

Importantly, ACE is highly expressed in the aneurysmal vascular wall, both in human disease and animal models. In aneurysmal aortic specimens obtained during operative repair of patients, ACE activity was increased sixfold.

It is well-known that patients with the DD genotype have high ACE levels in their plasma and cardiac tissue. Therefore, the very common ACE I/D polymorphism could contribute to the risk of aortic aneurysmal diseases. Recent studies have documented that ACE I/D polymorphism represents a susceptibility factor for AAA. Moreover, a large study of three geographically distinct, but ethnically similar, case-control cohorts confirmed a role for the ACE gene in modulating the

TABLE 2. Genotype distribution of ACE I/D polymorphism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Allele frequency</th>
<th>Control group (n = 216)</th>
<th>CAD group (n = 312)</th>
<th>TAA group (n = 300)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACE II</td>
<td>65 (21.7)</td>
<td>54 (17.3)</td>
<td>20 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE ID</td>
<td>126 (46.0)</td>
<td>144 (46.2)</td>
<td>115 (53.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE DD</td>
<td>109 (36.3)</td>
<td>114 (36.5)</td>
<td>81 (37.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE D</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
<td>0.64%†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as numbers, with percentages in parentheses, unless otherwise noted. ACE, Angiotensin-converting enzyme; I/D, insertion/deletion; |P < .01 vs controls. |P < .05 vs CAD. |P < .0001 vs controls. |P < .0001 vs controls. |P < .01 vs controls. |

TABLE 3. Association between ACE I/D polymorphism and ascending aortic aneurysm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additive (DD vs DI vs II)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.5–5.2)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant (DD and ID vs II)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.6–5.0)</td>
<td>.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recessive (DD versus ID and II)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8–1.8)</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, Odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; other abbreviations as in Table 2.
susceptibility to the disease and demonstrated that the $\text{AT1R}^{1166\text{A} > \text{C}}$ polymorphism represented a risk factor for AAA.\textsuperscript{22}

**Clinical and Pharmacogenetics Implications**

Our findings have relevant implications for the care of patients and research both. Current American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines recommend replacement of the ascending aorta in select patients (eg, patients with Marfan syndrome, BAV patients requiring concomitant aortic valve replacement) with a ascending aortic diameter greater than 4.5 cm.\textsuperscript{23} These recommendations, however, were based almost exclusively on “expert opinion,” and improved clinical predictors are needed to identify those at risk of acute aortic dissection and to determine the aortic diameter that justifies the risk of surgical repair of a TAA.\textsuperscript{24,25} Clinical studies of familial TAA have provided the evidence that the timing of surgical repair can be dictated by the underlying mutated gene causing the disease.\textsuperscript{24} In the clinical setting, the identification of common genetic risk factors for TAA could also facilitate risk stratification to prevent or attenuate the disease.\textsuperscript{2,6} The combination of genetic tests and clinical routine examinations could contribute to the personalized prevention of this condition. A better understanding of the genetic component predisposing to TAA remains the challenge for the future for selecting the optimal medical regimen for each patient. Currently, the medical therapies for aortic disease entail aggressive management of cardiovascular risk, especially hypertension, to control the growth of the aortic aneurysm and reduce its risk of dissection or rupture. Our findings suggest that RAS inhibition could be considered as first-line therapy in preventing aortic aneurysmal disease.

Furthermore, data from animal models have suggested that ACE inhibitors slow the progressive course of aortic

![FIGURE 1. Aortic diameters according to angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism for (A) all patients, (B) bicuspid aortic valve patients, and (C) tricuspid aortic valve patients.](image)

![FIGURE 2. Interaction between angiotension-converting enzyme deletion allele and hypertension for aortic diameter and thoracic aortic aneurysm risk.](image)
aneurysms, and they are more effective at preventing rupture than other antihypertensive agents.\textsuperscript{13,26-28}

A very recent experimental study has shown that the AT1 receptor blocker, losartan, which uniquely blocks transforming growth factor-\(\beta\) activity, allowing intact AT2 signaling, abrogated aneurysm progression in the Marfan mice. In contrast, the ACE inhibitor, enalapril, which limits signaling through both receptors, was less effective. In the mouse studies, losartan reduced ERK1/2 phosphorylation signaling through both receptors, was less effective. In the mice. In contrast, the ACE inhibitor, enalapril, which limits signaling, abrogated aneurysm progression in the Marfan syndrome.\textsuperscript{31,32} The angiotensin II receptor blocker losartan has also been shown to reduce the rate of aortic dilatation in a small pediatric cohort of patients with Marfan syndrome.\textsuperscript{33}

However, no evidence has suggested whether angiotensin II receptor blockers are preferable to \(\beta\)-blockers for aortic disease. Currently, ongoing randomized clinical trials are comparing the efficacy of standard treatment with \(\beta\)-adrenoceptor blockade, with the AT1 receptor antagonism using losartan, in patients with Marfan syndrome.\textsuperscript{34-36} The results of these trials may help to better define which of these therapies is of greater benefit for the long-term treatment of these patients and to better clarify the role of the RAS in ascending aortic aneurysm.

However, genetic variants in the RAS genes could also modify the efficacy of the therapies. Therefore, it would be important to perform large pharmacogenetic studies to evaluate whether gene–drug interactions vary the risk of TAA and to identify specific populations that would benefit from specific treatments.

Study Limitations

Some important limitations must be considered when interpreting the results of the present study. First, the pathogenesis of aortic aneurysmal disease is undoubtedly complex, involving many genes acting in an additive or interactive manner, together with environmental factors. The RAS represents a perfect example in which cumulative variations of each component of this enzymatic cascade could strongly affect the overall activity of the system.\textsuperscript{37}

An important limitation of our study was the lack of a more comprehensive genetic analysis of other RAS candidate genes (eg, angiotensinogen and angiotensin II type I receptor genes) and for which a functional polymorphism exists.

Second, given the case–control design, potential confounding factors can never be completely excluded in an observational study. Another limitation of our study was the nature of the control population, which consisted of volunteers or healthy donors. We could not rule out the possibility that these participants might have had asymptomatic aortic pathologic features because thoracic aortic imaging was not conducted. However, we also included a group of patients with atherosclerosis as another control group to better determine whether ACE polymorphism is causally related to the presence of TAA.

In conclusion, our results strongly support that ACE I/D polymorphism represents a genetic biomarker of increased susceptibility to TAA, confirming the key role of the RAS as an underlying cause of aneurysmal aortic disease. These findings could have a significant effect for both early detection and effective pharmacologic treatment of aortic disease.
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