Center variation and outcomes associated with delayed sternal closure after stage 1 palliation for hypoplastic left heart syndrome
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Objective: There is debate whether primary or delayed sternal closure is the best strategy after stage 1 palliation for hypoplastic left heart syndrome. We describe center variation in delayed sternal closure after stage 1 palliation and associated outcomes.

Methods: Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Database participants performing stage 1 palliation for hypoplastic left heart syndrome from 2000 to 2007 were included. We examined center variation in delayed sternal closure and compared in-hospital mortality, prolonged length of stay (length of stay > 6 weeks), and postoperative infection in centers with low (~25% of cases), middle (25%–74% of cases), and high (~75% of cases) delayed sternal closure use, adjusting for patient and center factors.

Results: There were 1283 patients (45 centers) included. Median age at surgery was 6 days (interquartile range, 4–9 days), and median weight at surgery was 3.2 kg (interquartile range, 2.8–3.5 kg); 59% were male. Delayed sternal closure was used in 74% of cases (range, 3%–100% of cases/center). In centers with high (n = 23) and middle (n = 17) versus low (n = 5) delayed sternal closure use, there was a greater proportion of patients with prolonged length of stay and infection, and a trend toward increased in-hospital mortality in unadjusted analysis. In multivariable analysis, there was no difference in mortality. Centers with high and middle delayed sternal closure use had prolonged length of stay (odds ratio, 2.83; 95% confidence interval, 1.46–5.47; P = .002) and odds ratio, 2.23; confidence interval, 1.17–4.26; P = .02, respectively) and more infection (odds ratio, 2.34; confidence interval, 1.20–4.57; P = .01 and odds ratio, 2.37; confidence interval, 1.36–4.16; P = .003, respectively).

Conclusion: Use of delayed sternal closure after stage 1 palliation varies widely. These observational data suggest that more frequent use of delayed sternal closure is associated with longer length of stay and higher postoperative infection rates. Further evaluation of the risks and benefits of delayed sternal closure in the management of these complex infants is necessary. (J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2010;139:1205-10)
the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) Congenital Heart Surgery Database.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Source
As previously described, the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database collects operative and perioperative data on all patients undergoing congenital heart surgery at participating centers. Data collected include demographics, diagnosis, noncardiac abnormalities, preoperative factors, intraoperative details, surgical procedure performed, postoperative complications, and in-hospital mortality. The Duke Clinical Research Institute serves as the data collection and management organization for the STS National Databases. This study was approved by the Duke Institutional Review Board.

Study Population
Infants who underwent stage 1 palliation (Norwood procedure with modified Blalock–Taussig shunt or right ventricle to pulmonary artery conduit) for HLHS between January 2000 and December 2007 were included. Centers with more than 15% missing data on mortality, length of stay, or postoperative complications, and those with less than 5 eligible cases were excluded. Individual patients (n = 7) from remaining centers with missing data on mortality or length of stay were then excluded, leaving a final population of 1283 patients from 45 centers. Missing data for other variables in the final study population were rare (<0.8% for all).

Data Collection
Data on use of DSC were collected. Of note, the STS database does not distinguish between “routine” versus “selective” DSC. Patient demographic information (age, weight, length, and gender) and data on any noncardiac abnormalities were collected, as defined in the database by asplenia, polysplenia, Down syndrome, Turner syndrome, DiGeorge, Williams–Beuren syndrome, Alagille syndrome, 22q11 deletion, rubella, Marfan syndrome, or any other chromosomal/syndromic abnormality. Data collected regarding preoperative factors included preoperative shock, acidosis, arrhythmia, mechanical circulatory support (use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation or ventricular assist device), mechanical ventilatory support (of note, the database does not distinguish between mechanical ventilatory support required for respiratory failure vs that used during transport or in routine preoperative management), renal failure, sepsis, and neurologic deficit. Operative data collected included duration of cardiopulmonary bypass, crossclamp, and circulatory arrest. The data from the era of collection did not specify the use of regional cerebral perfusion. Postoperative data collected included infection, length of stay, and in-hospital mortality. Postoperative infection included sepsis (the current definition of which in the database requires a positive blood culture and excludes line infection), mediastinitis, wound infection, wound dehiscence, and endocarditis. Data on center characteristics were also collected, including center region and annual surgical volume of stage 1 palliation for HLHS.

Analysis
Data were summarized using frequencies and percentages for categoric variables and median and interquartile range for continuous variables. Centers were characterized on the basis of the proportion of cases at each center for which DSC was used: low (<25% of cases), middle (26%–74% of cases), and high (≥75% of cases). Patient and center characteristics were compared across the DSC groups using chi-square and Kruskal–Wallis tests for categoric and continuous variables, respectively. The relationship between preoperative factors (any of above) and cardiopulmonary bypass time was evaluated using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. A generalized estimating equations logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the relationship between center average annual volume of stage 1 palliations performed for HLHS and DSC use.

Outcomes associated with DSC were evaluated using a center-level analysis in an attempt to minimize the impact of patient confounders and to compare outcomes at centers with “routine” or elective use of DSC versus those that did not use DSC as frequently. In-hospital mortality, prolonged postoperative length of stay (defined as length of stay > 6 weeks, which was the upper quartile of length of stay for the entire cohort; median, 22 days; interquartile range, 13–41 days) and postoperative infection (as defined above) were compared across centers with low, middle, and high DSC use in univariable and multivariable logistic regression, adjusting for patient age, weight, preoperative factors (as listed above), year of surgery, and center volume. The generalized estimating equations method was used to account for correlation between outcomes of patients at the same center. Missing data were imputed as “not present” for categoric variables, which was the most common value, or the median of non-missing values for continuous variables. Finally, a sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the impact of preoperative factors on outcome. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) are presented. All analyses were conducted using SAS version 8.2 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

RESULTS
A total of 1283 patients from 45 centers were included. Median age at surgery was 6 days (4–9 days), and median weight at surgery was 3.2 kg (2.8–3.5 kg); 59% were male. DSC was used in 74% of cases overall (range, 3%–100% of cases per center; Figure 1). Patient characteristics,
preoperative factors, and operative data in the low, middle, and high DSC groups are displayed in Table 1. The weight at surgery and presence of any noncardiac abnormality were similar across groups. The distribution of various preoperative factors was similar across groups as shown in Table 1, with the exception of acidosis and preoperative mechanical ventilatory support. In regard to operative data, those with more frequent use of DSC had shorter cardiopulmonary bypass times (Table 1). The presence of any of the preoperative factors listed in Table 1 was associated with shorter cardiopulmonary bypass time (145 minutes [93–178] vs 151 minutes [123–184], \( P < .0001 \)).

On evaluation of center characteristics, there was no association between center average annual volume of stage I palliations performed for HLHS and the proportion who received DSC \( (P = .1) \). There was no evidence of variation in the frequency of DSC across geographic regions.

Unadjusted outcomes are shown in Table 2 and Figure 2. In unadjusted analysis, there was a trend toward greater in-hospital mortality and a significantly increased proportion with postoperative infection and prolonged length of stay in the middle and high DSC groups compared with the low DSC group. Length of stay was 17 days (8–26 days) in the low DSC group, 21 days (12–40 days) in the middle DSC group, and 24 days (14–43 days) in the high DSC group \( (P < .001) \). Information concerning type of postoperative infection is shown in Table 3.
In multivariable analysis, there was no significant difference in in-hospital mortality among groups, but postoperative infection and prolonged length of stay were significantly greater in the middle and high DSC groups compared with the low DSC group (Table 2).

To evaluate the impact of preoperative factors on outcome, preoperative factors were removed from the models and the analysis was repeated, with similar results (vs Table 2): in-hospital mortality (middle DSC group: OR, 1.35; 95% CI, 0.83–2.22; \(P = .23\); and high DSC group: OR, 1.21; CI, 0.77–1.90; \(P = .40\)), infection (middle DSC group: OR, 2.49; CI, 1.40–4.40; \(P = .002\); and high DSC group: OR, 2.56; CI, 1.30–5.01; \(P = .006\)), and prolonged length of stay (middle DSC group: OR, 2.30; CI, 1.17–4.52; \(P = .02\); and high DSC group: OR, 2.99; CI, 1.51–5.92; \(P = .002\)).

**DISCUSSION**

There is debate regarding the optimal timing of sternal closure after stage 1 palliation for infants with HLHS. Although it is hypothesized that DSC may promote greater hemodynamic and respiratory stability in the postoperative period, outcomes associated with this approach are unclear. By evaluating 1283 infants with HLHS, we found that use of DSC after stage 1 palliation varied widely among 45 US centers. More frequent use of DSC was associated with prolonged length of stay and higher rates of postoperative infection. DSC was not significantly associated with in-hospital mortality.

Survival after staged palliation for patients with HLHS has improved significantly during the past 2 decades. However, evidence to guide optimal care in this patient population is still evolving. A recent survey of centers caring for infants with HLHS suggested that perioperative care varied widely by center, including differences in models of care delivery, operative techniques, medications used, feeding regimens, and type of monitoring. Wide variations in practice may reflect the lack of evidence to define best practices.

This prior survey also suggested variation in use of DSC after stage 1 palliation, which was confirmed in our study. We did not find any correlation between the use of DSC and center characteristics. In regard to patient characteristics, we found that centers who used DSC more frequently tended to report a higher prevalence of factors, such as acidosis and preoperative mechanical ventilatory support. This may reflect differences in patient preoperative status or coding of these variables. Of note, the database does not distinguish between mechanical ventilation required for respiratory failure versus that used in the routine preoperative management of these infants. However, one may hypothesize that because of the presence of these factors, these patients were “sicker” going into surgery, leading to longer cardiopulmonary bypass times, greater postoperative edema, and more frequent use of DSC. Previous studies have suggested that longer cardiopulmonary bypass times are associated with greater capillary leak and postoperative inflammation, and greater use of DSC. However, we found that patients with preoperative “risk” factors actually had shorter cardiopulmonary bypass times. Centers with more frequent use of DSC also had shorter cardiopulmonary bypass times. In addition, in a sensitivity analysis to evaluate the impact of preoperative factors on outcome, we found similar results whether or not these factors were included in our models. Thus, our data suggest that the presence of these preoperative factors

**TABLE 3. Type of postoperative infection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low 5 centers (n = 111)</th>
<th>Middle 17 centers (n = 406)</th>
<th>High 23 centers (n = 766)</th>
<th>(P) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>10 (2.5%)</td>
<td>7 (0.9%)</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>3 (2.7%)</td>
<td>16 (3.9%)</td>
<td>32 (4.2%)</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative sepsis</td>
<td>5 (4.5%)</td>
<td>55 (13.6%)</td>
<td>95 (12.4%)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediastinitis</td>
<td>1 (0.9%)</td>
<td>2 (0.5%)</td>
<td>14 (1.8%)</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative endocarditis</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (0.3%)</td>
<td>3 (0.4%)</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DSC, Delayed sternal closure.
or longer duration of cardiopulmonary bypass does not lead to more frequent use of DSC, and that these preoperative factors do not seem to affect the relationship between DSC and outcome. Other factors not captured in the STS Database, such as hemodynamic status at the conclusion of surgery, experience of ICU staff in managing infants who have undergone primary sternal closure, and availability of 24-hour in-house surgical support, may affect center or surgeon preference for primary versus DSC. In addition, other preoperative variables, including variations in anatomic substrate such as the presence of aortic atresia or a restrictive atrial septum, may also affect outcome.\(^{9,23}\)

Results of prior studies evaluating outcomes associated with DSC are conflicting. Studies of DSC in infants and children undergoing congenital heart surgery for a variety of defects have reported increased mortality associated with DSC.\(^{5,6}\) However, in these studies, DSC was only performed in patients who were hemodynamically unstable. Therefore, higher mortality in this group may be expected. In studies focusing on patients undergoing stage 1 palliation, some have found DSC to be associated with increased mortality, whereas others have not found a significant relationship.\(^{7-9}\)

In our analysis, we did not find a significant relationship between in-hospital mortality and DSC. Despite potential alterations in hemodynamic status associated with primary sternal closure, and hypothesized benefits of DSC, a survival benefit was not evident in our analysis.\(^{2,3}\)

In contrast, we did find that use of DSC was associated with increased postoperative morbidity, including infection and prolonged length of stay. Prior studies regarding the relationship between DSC and postoperative infection have had mixed results. Several studies have reported no increase in mediastinitis or bloodstream infections associated with the use of DSC.\(^{10,11}\) However, others have shown an increase in gram-negative mediastinitis and surgical site infections.\(^{12-14}\) Our data show the higher rate of infection is primarily related to bloodstream infections. Previous studies evaluating the relationship of DSC with length of stay are limited. A prior single-center study in the arterial switch population reported no difference in survival, postoperative length of stay, or postoperative infection associated with DSC.\(^{24}\) This study was limited by small sample size (n = 52). It is likely that the increased frequency of postoperative infection found in our study is related to the prolonged length of stay. Postoperative infection has been shown to be associated with prolonged length of stay and increased mortality.\(^{13,15}\) Because of the limitations of the database, we were not able to evaluate the impact of DSC on duration of mechanical ventilation; however, it is likely that use of DSC is associated with longer duration of intubation, which may also affect length of stay. Alternatively, it is possible that length of stay was affected by other factors not related to DSC, such as postoperative feeding difficulties, the management of which may vary by center. Such variation would not lead to a spurious association between DSC and length of stay unless these factors differed systematically across the different categories of DSC. In addition, to reduce the potential for confounding by center-level factors, our risk model explicitly adjusted for hospital-level variables, including center volume. Finally, our analytic strategy accounts for unexplained between-hospital variation by treating observations within a hospital as clustered (correlated) observations. Perioperative morbidities, such as prolonged length of stay, also may have an impact on longer-term outcomes, because prior studies have shown prolonged hospital stay to be an independent predictor of future neurodevelopmental status in patients with HLHS.\(^{25}\)

**LIMITATIONS**

This study is subject to the limitations associated with all observational investigations, including selection bias and the potential impact of confounders. We performed a center-level analysis in an attempt to minimize the impact of patient confounders and adjusted for patient and center factors in addition to accounting for within-center clustering of outcomes in our models. We also performed a sensitivity analysis to further evaluate the potential impact of patient preoperative factors on outcome.

This study is also subject to the limitations of data collected. We were unable to evaluate elective versus emergency use of DSC because this is not defined in the database. However, using a center-level analysis did allow us to compare outcomes in those with “routine” or elective (high) use of DSC versus those who did not use DSC as frequently. We were also unable to evaluate the impact of duration of time the chest is open after surgery on outcomes because this information is not uniformly captured in the database currently. The database is also limited in that institutions may differ in their coding of different variables. More uniform definitions of variables were added to the database in 2005; however, these may still be interpreted differently by different institutions. In addition, we were not able to evaluate the impact of factors such as variations in anatomic substrate, hemodynamic status at the conclusion of surgery, perioperative antibiotic or corticosteroid use, and center model of postoperative care on the relationship between DSC and outcome because these variables are not collected in the database. Finally, although this represents the largest study to date evaluating DSC in this population, our sample size may have limited our power to detect certain differences among groups.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This multicenter study is the largest to date evaluating use of DSC after stage 1 palliation for patients with HLHS. We found that use of DSC varies widely, although the majority of centers use DSC in more than half of cases. This observational study suggests that more frequent use of DSC is
associated with no difference in survival, greater postoperative morbidity, including prolonged length of stay, and higher rates of postoperative infection, primarily related to sepsis. Further evaluation of the risks and benefits of DSC in the management of these complex infants is warranted, particularly in cases involving elective use of DSC. Given the wide variation in practice of DSC by institution and potential variations in other aspects of care for these infants, a trial performed in this area may need to use stratification of randomization by institution or the implementation of standardized management protocols across institutions.
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