Coronary artery bypass grafting with a minimized cardiopulmonary bypass circuit: A prospective, randomized trial
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Objective: The study was designed to determine differences in blood loss and transfusion associated with a minimized cardiopulmonary bypass circuit versus a standard bypass circuit.

Methods: From February 2005 through April 2006, 199 patients were randomized to undergo coronary artery bypass grafting with a standard cardiopulmonary bypass circuit (Medtronic, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn) or a minimized bypass circuit, the Medtronic Resting Heart Circuit. Laboratory perimeters (hemoglobin and platelet count), were measured at baseline, after initiation of cardiopulmonary bypass, and on intensive care unit admission. Lowest values recorded were noted. Blood administration was controlled by study-specific protocol orders, (transfusion for hemoglobin <8mg%). Patient demographic data were retrieved from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons database. Blood product administration was recorded during hospital admission, and chest tube drainage as total output collected from operating room to discontinuation. Continuous variables were tested with a Wilcoxon rank test, and categoric variables with X2 and Fisher’s exact tests.

Results: Hematocrit, equivalent at baseline, was higher in minimized circuit cohort at lowest point during cardiopulmonary bypass (31.5% ± 3.9% vs. 25.5% ± 3.7%), after protamine (31.6% ± 3.9% vs 29.2% ± 3.7%), and on intensive care unit arrival (35.2% ± 4.1% vs 31.8% ± 3.5%, P < .001). Similarly, platelet count was higher in minimized circuit group on intensive care unit arrival, as was lowest platelet count recorded (170 × 103 ± 48 cells/mm3 vs 107 × 103 ± 28 cells/mm3, P < .0001). Time to extubation was shorter in minimized circuit group (848 ± 737 minutes vs. 526 ± 282 minutes, (P < .01), and total chest tube drainage was lower (1124 ± 647 mL vs. 506 ± 214 mL, P < .01). Fewer red blood cells (148 vs 19 units) were given in minimized circuit group (P < .0001).

Conclusions: A minimized cardiopulmonary bypass circuit provides less hemodilution, platelet consumption, chest tube output and lower post-operative blood loss than standard cardiopulmonary bypass. Red blood cell usage was also less. All differences are advantageous.

Since its introduction in the 1950s, cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) has allowed the development of heart surgery, which has become the most common of surgical procedures performed on a global basis.1 Even though CPB has been used in millions of cases during the past 56 years, there are still unsolved problems, many of which have been elucidated in the past decade. These problems include but are not limited to hemodilution, complement and white cell activation with systemic inflammatory response, platelet activation, the need for intensive anticoagulation, systemic organ dysfunction, and the frequent need for blood and blood products to control postbypass bleeding or blood loss.2-5 Atrial fibrillation (AF), the most common untoward event after heart surgery, has also been related to CPB.6,7 To address some of these concerns, surgeons initially began doing coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) procedures without the use of CPB (off-pump CABG, or OPCAB).7,8 During the 1990s, OPCAB became popular; because of the technical difficulties encountered in this procedure, however, as well as a questionable effect on long-term graft patency, OPCAB is currently performed in fewer of 25% of CABG procedures.9,10 A further means of combating the side effects of CPB has been the development of minimized circuits.6,11,12 These circuits minimize foreign surface–blood interaction and are heparinized from tip to tip. The tubing length has been shortened to decrease crystalloid prime. Importantly, the use of cardiotomy suction is eliminated or minimized, and an active air-removal device is added to this closed circuit. To evaluate the potential advantages of a minimized circuit relative to a standard CPB (SCPB) unit, a prospective, randomized trial was conducted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After investigation review board approval was received, 199 patients older than 40 years who were to undergo first-time CABG were randomly assigned to the use of a Medtronic Resting Heart (RHC) minimized circuit (Medtronic, Inc, Minneapolis, Minn) or a standard Medtronic CPB circuit (SCPB) at the time of surgical scheduling by means of computer-generated randomization cards sealed in envelopes. The study was conducted from February 2005 through April 2006. Exclusionary criteria included...
Abbreviations and Acronyms

AF = atrial fibrillation  
CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting  
CPB = cardiopulmonary bypass  
ICU = intensive care unit  
MRH = Medtronic Resting Heart minimized circuit  
OPCAB = off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting  
RAP = retrograde autologous priming  
RHC = Resting Heart circuit  
SCPB = standard cardiopulmonary bypass

cogulopathy (international normalized ratio >2), emergency surgery, and surgery expected to last longer than 6 hours. Additionally excluded were patients who received 11b/11a platelet inhibitors, clopidogrel, or thrombolytic therapy within 5 days of surgery; those who showed evidence of ventricular or aortic aneurysm or ventricular thrombus; and those who required other concomitant therapy. Aprotinin was not used.

Operating personnel could not be blinded to circuit randomization. Ex- 
tubation was carried out by intensive care unit (ICU) intensivist staff ac- 
cording to the Beaumont Hospital protocol for all patients undergoing heart 
surgery. The ICU physicians were aware that the patients were in the 
CPB study, but did not know which circuit had been used. The study pa- 
tients represented fewer than 20% of the heart operations conducted during the 
study period.

Transfusion of red blood cells was controlled intraoperatively and post- 
operative by a study-specific protocol to administer blood if hemoglobin fell 
below 8 mg/dL in both groups. There were no protocol violations.

Intraoperative fluids were limited by protocol, and perfusion pressure 
was maintained during retrograde autologous priming (RAP) by pressor ad- 
ministration. RAP was discontinued if patient hypotension related to hypo-

Hematologic Parameters and Other Data

Laboratory parameters recorded included hematocrit on entry to the oper- 
atory room, after the administration of heparin, at its nadir during CPB, 
after protamine administration and, on arrival at the ICU. Platelet count 
was measured at baseline, on admission to the ICU, and as the lowest plate- 
et count recorded during the hospital stay.

During the hospital stay, the total numbers of units of blood and blood prod-
tacts were recorded, and the timing of product administration was noted. Chest 
tube drainage was recorded as total output collected from the operating room 
and drainage initiation to chest tube discontinuation, and extubation time was 
measured from arrival at the ICU until the endotracheal tube was removed.

Pertinent demographic data, operative data, and postoperative adverse events were retrieved from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons database collection.

Circuit Description

The RHC was selected for use after a large experience with routine heart 
surgery. A closed circuit (containing an active air-removal device) with 
a centrifugal pump and Carmeda-coated (Carmeda AB, Upplands Väsby, 
Sweden) high-efficiency oxygenator forms the core of the system (Figure 1). The tubing consists of a 0.5 inches, respectively, thus minimizing crystalloid priming 
volume to approximately 900 mL versus 1850 mL. Because of the 
shorter tubing length, the circuit has to “nestle” closely to the patient, mak-
ing the vertical array an important space-saving feature. The RHC is coated

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were tested with a Wilcoxon rank test, a nonpara-
metric approximation of the t test. Categoric variables were examined with a χ² test; otherwise, a Fisher exact test was used. Values are expressed as 
mean ± SD.
RESULTS

CPB times were similar between groups (76 ± 20 minutes for SCBP and 75 ± 20 minutes for RHC, P > .05). As shown in Table 3, the hematocrit was significantly higher at all times after the initiation of CPB with the RHC as opposed to the conventional circuit. Similarly, platelet count was higher in patients in whom the RHC was used at all times after baseline. The times to extubation were 848 ± 737 minutes in the SCBP group and 526 ± 282 minutes in the RHC group (P < .01). Total chest tube drainage in the SCBP group (1124 ± 647 mL) was greater than that in the RHC group (560 ± 214 mL (P < .001). In addition to the blood count being higher in patients in the RHC group, more patients in the SCBP group required the use of red blood cells, and a greater number of red cell units were given both on bypass and during the hospital stay in the SCBP group (Table 4). The use of platelets in the operating room (8 vs 3 patients) and in the ICU (4 vs 3 patients) was not statistically different (P > .5) for SCBP versus RHC. Similarly, the use of fresh-frozen plasma was minimal, with a total of 5 units versus 1 unit for SCBP and RHC groups, respectively.

Cell salvage reinfusion was not different between the RHC and SCBP groups (716 ± 256 mL and 810 ± 346 mL, P > .05). Postoperative AF occurred in 16% of the patients, 14% in the RHC group and 19% in the SCBP group, with the difference not reaching significance. Cerebrovascular accidents were equally distributed, with 2 events in each group, and were all minor, requiring no therapy. There were no postoperative sternal wound complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Demographic characteristics by circuit type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P not significant for all comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. Number of grafts per procedure by circuit type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3. Hematologic parameters by circuit type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard (n = 97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After heparin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest during CPB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After protamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SICU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SD. CPB, Cardiopulmonary bypass; SICU, surgical intensive care unit.

DISCUSSION

Several models of minimized CPB circuits have been developed and used on a global basis, although as yet for a minority of patients. Remadi has noted excellent exposure for complete revascularization and, in more than 1500 cases, found neither systemic injury nor occult air embolism, consistent with other reports. A minimized circuit has been used in all forms of heart surgery, including CABG, aortic valve replacement, and robotically enabled mitral valve surgery. This randomized trial confirms previous non-American studies indicating that less blood administration is needed after minimized circuit use, with less blood loss during the immediate postoperative period.

It is of particular importance because the administration of red blood cells can increase postoperative morbidity and mortality. Even after a successful surgical outcome, red blood cell transfusion has also been shown to reduce long-term survival. Thus it is important to eliminate transfusion.

The salutary effect of the minimized circuit is likely due to several factors. First, hemodilution is minimized by the shortened tubing length and the smaller inner diameter of tubing used, thus not only maintaining a higher hematocrit during the operative procedure and after CPB but minimizing the dilution of coagulation factors. Along with minimizing the blood–foreign surface interface, the shortened tubing with its tip-to-tip heparin coating also minimizes platelet activation. Because of the previously mentioned factor, less intensive anticoagulation is necessary during the CPB run, enabling better postoperative hemostasis. In our experience, approximately two thirds of the traditional heparinizing dose for the SCBP circuit is used. RAP is also an alternative method to minimize transfusion.
Inflammatory response activation may contribute to myocardial dysfunction, respiratory failure, renal insufficiency, confusion or stroke, and AF. Eliminating the effects of the minimized circuit. Finally, because of the minimized closed circuit, the heparin coating, and, importantly, the increased air–blood interface, the activation of white blood cells releasing inflammatory factors is minimized. Earlier extubation is likely related to less hemodilution and white blood cell activation, consequently resulting in less third-space edema.

Immer and colleagues found improved myocardial protection in patients undergoing surgery with the minimized circuit as opposed to SCBP. In addition to improved protection, patients with the minimized circuit had less weight gain, and the authors believed these facts to be primarily responsible for the lower incidence of postoperative new-onset AF in their minimized circuit patients. Koch and coworkers found red blood cell transfusion to be associated with an increased risk of AF. We found no difference between groups in the incidence of AF. In patients at higher risk for AF, however, the impact of a minimized circuit may be more noticeable. Other reports have indicated that the minimized circuit offers similar decreases in all the previously mentioned parameters, which is more similar to OPCAB surgery than to SCBP; however, the use of RHC facilitates complete revascularization, especially for complex anatomy or unstable physiology not amenable to OPCAB.

The systemic inflammatory response is the result of the activation of both cellular and humoral components. Although this study did not undertake the measurement of inflammatory markers, others have noted a decrease in the inflammatory response with minimized bypass circuits. Inflammatory response activation may contribute to myocardial dysfunction, respiratory failure, renal insufficiency, confusion or stroke, and AF. Eliminating or minimizing these effects is desirable.

A learning curve is necessary but is not associated with higher risk. There are three drawbacks to the minimized circuit that become apparent during its use. First, communication between the perfusion, anesthesiology, and surgical teams is more imperative than with SCBP. The blood pressure has to be maintained during RAP, and active communication when CPB is initiated is necessary to determine adequacy of perfusion because the circuit has been primed with the patient’s blood.

Second, the effect of the minimal circuit volume and retrograde autologous priming may be obscured if too much crystalloid volume infusion is administered before and during the case. In this study, fluid was controlled by protocol, and perfusion pressure was maintained during RAP by pressor agent infusion.

Finally, because kinetic assistance is necessary, emptying of the heart with decreased perfusion flow can at times be difficult. One needs to ensure a complete seal around and proper positioning of the venous drainage canulas to prevent air entering the circuit. and the surgeon must maintain active observation on the heart should the right atrium or right ventricle dilate with undrained volume, communicating with the perfusionist to improve drainage. There are specific instances—including the administration of cardioprotective solution, discontinuation of vent drainage, and, importantly, cardiac manipulation, particularly pulling the heart superiority and to the right for access to the circumflex coronary artery system—that can impede venous drainage and lower perfusion flows. Drainage issues can also occur with vigorous traction on the left atrium during mitral valve surgery. Active communication among all portions of the surgical team is mandatory.

Air entry to the RHC was not encountered. The active air-removal system cleared any air that might enter the venous cannula and obviated, even eliminated, the occult air embolization that has been seen with SCBP.

In summary, the RHC offers a viable alternative to the SCBP circuit. It has been associated with less postoperative blood loss, lower transfusion rates, and earlier extubation, while allowing adequate exposure for cardiac surgical procedures. This was a series of low-risk CABG surgical patients, and those in populations at higher risk may achieve greater benefit.
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