in experienced hands. Because the learning curve for robotic surgery seems gentler than the learning curve for VATS, surgeons might choose to transition from open techniques to robotic surgery without doing VATS. It will be interesting to see how the current generation of surgical trainees chooses to adopt minimally invasive approaches. Although the evidence is weak supporting robotic surgery over other approaches and the cost of the robotic platform is a limitation, the use of robotic surgery is growing exponentially. One way or another, minimally invasive thoracic surgery is here to stay and is rapidly evolving.

References

- Deslauriers J, Gregoire J, Jacques LF, Piraux M, Guojin L, Lacasse Y. Sleeve lobectomy versus pneumonectomy for lung cancer: a comparative analysis of survival and sites or recurrences. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2004;77:1152-6.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (Version 1.2020); . Available at: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/recently_updated.aspx. Accessed November 6, 2019.
- Postmus PE, Kerr KM, Oudkerk M, Senan S, Waller DA, Vansteenkiste J, et al; on behalf of the ESMO Guidelines Committee. Early and locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol*. 2017;28:iv1-21.
- Howington JA, Blum MG, Chang AC, Balekian AA, Murthy SC. Treatment of stage I and II non-small cell lung cancer: diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 2013;143:e278S-313S.
- Lim E, Dunning J, Shackcloth M, Anikin V, Naidu B, Belcher E, et al. In hospital clinical efficacy, safety and oncologic outcomes from VIOLET: a UK multi-centre RCT of VATS versus open lobectomy for lung cancer [abstract]. In: Plenary Session—Presidential Symposium of the IASLC 2019 World Conference on Lung Cancer; September 7-10, 2019; Barcelona, Spain. Abstract ID 1257.
- Veronesi G, Galetta D, Maisonneuve P, Melfi F, Schmid RA, Borri A, et al. Four-arm robotic lobectomy for the treatment of early-stage lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2010;140:19-25.
- Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS, Skylizard L, Minnich DJ. Initial consecutive experience of completely portal robotic pulmonary resection with 4 arms. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2011;142:740-6.
- Cerfolio RJ. Robotic sleeve lobectomy: technical details and early results. *J Thorac Dis*. 2016;8:S223-6.
- Qiu T, Yandong Z, Yunpeng X, Qin Y, Niu Z, Shen Y, et al. Robotic sleeve lobectomy for centrally located non-small cell lung cancer: a propensity score-weighted comparison with thoracoscopic and open surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2020;160:838-46.e2.

See Article page 838.

Check for updates

Commentary: Minimally invasive sleeve lobectomy—from case report curiosity to standard of care?

Nirmal K. Veeramachaneni, MD

Nirmal K. Veeramachaneni, MD

CENTRAL MESSAGE

Robotic and VATS sleeve lobectomy is feasible. Surgeons who have reported excellent results have considerable experience with minimally invasive techniques.

In this issue of the *Journal*, Qiu and colleagues¹ report on their experience with 188 patients undergoing sleeve lobectomy from 2012 to 2017. What is remarkable is the volume of procedures and their ability to transition from thoracotomy, to video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS), to a robotic platform in a short time frame. For this complex operation, the authors noted a mortality

rate of 2.1%, with no conversions to open surgery in either the VATS or robotic groups, and no difference in complications. Their latest technique used the robot—length of stay, operative time, and blood loss were all least within this group. Given the inherent selection bias and

From the Department of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, University of Kansas Health System, Kansas City, Kan.

Disclosures: Author has nothing to disclose with regard to commercial support.

Received for publication Nov 4, 2019; revisions received Nov 4, 2019; accepted for publication Nov 4, 2019; available ahead of print Nov 27, 2019.

Address for reprints: Nirmal K. Veeramachaneni, MD, Department of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery, University of Kansas Health System, 4000 Cambridge St, Kansas City, KS 66160 (E-mail: nveeramachaneni@kumc.edu).

J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2020;160:852-3

0022-5223/\$36.00

Copyright © 2019 by The American Association for Thoracic Surgery

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2019.11.020>

differences in training and experience, we cannot conclude that one technique is superior to another. The authors are able to clearly demonstrate the feasibility of a minimally invasive technique for sleeve lobectomy, with excellent outcomes.

The history of sleeve lobectomy is one of continuous technologic innovation. Pioneers in thoracic surgery reported on the concept of parenchymal-sparing lung resection, with anastomosis of the airway to avoid pneumonectomy back to the 1950s.² Sleeve resection could have oncologic results similar to pneumonectomy, without the deleterious effects of pneumonectomy.^{3,4}

In a review of the literature in 1992, Tedder and colleagues³ reported a bronchopleural fistula rate of 3%, stenosis rate approaching 5%, and operative mortality rate of slightly more than 5% in their review of more than 1900 cases. These operations were performed using an open technique and meticulous attention to the airway anastomosis, as this was the leading source of complications. The principles of reconstruction center on avoiding devascularization of the bronchus or tension on the suture line. These principles have not changed with the advent of minimally invasive techniques.

With the first report of VATS lobectomy in the 1990s, we have seen a revolution in technical innovation. By 2008,⁵ we had reports of sleeve resection by the VATS technique (n = 13), followed by single-incision VATS in 2013⁶ (n = 1), soon to be followed by large series of patients undergoing uniportal complex airway resection.⁷ In 2016, Cerfolio and colleagues⁸ published their experience with 8 patients undergoing robotic sleeve resection, soon to be eclipsed in the same year by Pan and colleagues⁹ with a report of 21 patients including double-sleeve resections. The current manuscript rivals all of these publications in number of cases. What is common in all of these publications is excellent outcomes, predicated on vast experience.

The report by Mahtabifard and colleagues⁵ of 13 patients was based on experience with more than 1500 VATS lobectomies, and Gonzalez-Rivas and colleagues⁶ performed a single-incision sleeve lobectomy after having performed more than 170 uniportal resections. Qiu and colleagues¹⁰ honed their skills by performing 4695 lung resections in their study period and reported their own experience with double-sleeve resections. Technologic innovation may be difficult to replicate without rigorous practice and high volume. In an era of rapid dissemination of surgical experience by digital media, the rush to try the next surgical technologic innovation should be tempered by one's own experience and meticulous attention to detail and long-term outcomes.

References

1. Qiu T, Zhao Y, Xuen Y, Qin Y, Niu Z, Shen Y, et al. Robotic sleeve lobectomy for centrally located non-small cell lung cancer: a propensity score-weighted comparison with thoracoscopic and open surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020; 160:838-46.e2.
2. Paulson DL, Shaw RR. Preservation of lung tissue by means of bronchoplastic procedures. *Am J Surg.* 1955;89:347-55.
3. Tedder M, Anstadt MP, Tedder SD, Lowe JE. Current morbidity, mortality and survival after bronchoplastic procedures for malignancy. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1992;54:387-91.
4. Abdelsatter ZM, Shen KR, Yendamuri S, Cassivi S, Nichols FC, Wigle DA, et al. Outcomes after sleeve lung resections versus pneumonectomy in the United States. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2017;104:1656-64.
5. Mahtabifard A, Fuller CV, McKenna RJ. Video-assisted thoracic surgery sleeve lobectomy: a case series. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:S729-32.
6. Gonzalez-Rivas D, Fernandez R, Fieira E, Rellan L. Uniportal video-assisted thoracoscopic bronchial sleeve lobectomy: first report. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013;145:1676-7.
7. Gonzalez-Rivas D, Yang Y, Stupnik T, Sekhniaidze D, Fernandez R, Velasco C, et al. Uniportal video-assisted thoracoscopic bronchovascular, tracheal and carinal sleeve resections. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2016;49(suppl 1):i6-16.
8. Cerfolio RJ. Robotic sleeve lobectomy: technical details and early results. *J Thorac Dis.* 2016;8(suppl 2):S223-6.
9. Pan X, Gu C, Wang R, Zhao H, Shi J, Chen H. Initial experience of robotically assisted resection for lung cancer patients. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2016;102:1892-7.
10. Qiu T, Zhao Y, Xuan Y, Jiao W. Robotic-assisted double-sleeve lobectomy. *J Thorac Dis.* 2017;9:E21-5.