MITRAL VALVE REPLACEMENT IN HEAVILY CALCIFIED POSTERIOR ANNULUS

To the Editor:

I read with extreme interest the article by Hussain and colleagues¹ published in a recent issue of the *Journal*, describing their results in patients with severe mitral annular calcification undergoing mitral valve replacement (MVR).

Extensive calcium debridement of the posterior mitral annulus carries a high risk of circumflex artery injury, atrioventricular disruption, prosthetic valve dehiscence, periprosthetic leakage, stroke, and embolic events.² We have recently reported our approach² that involves partial debridement of the calcified posterior annulus, limited just to allow implantation of an appropriately sized prosthesis. We also have suggested transfer of the preserved anterior mitral leaflet and its subvalvular apparatus, and use of these structures to completely cover and reinforce the partially decalcified posterior mitral annular bed.² Thus, I absolutely agree with partial decalcification of the posterior annulus, which is a part of their technique. On the contrary, I have not found an aortotomy and transvalvular approach (across the aortic valve) to allow better placement of valve sutures in the region of the right fibrous trigone during MVR.³ Therefore, I do not support the idea that a proximal aortotomy should be performed routinely to optimize the exposure of the mitral valve

during suture placement in the region of the central fibrous body.

In 1995, Okita and associates⁴ presented 6 patients who underwent MVR and in whom a collar-reinforced prosthetic mitral valve (Xenomedica; Edward AG, Howr, Switzerland) was used. That valve was composed of a prosthetic mitral valve with a 1.5-cm-wide band of annular equine pericardium incorporated (from below) around the prosthetic sewing cuff. Technically, the first row of stitches (2-0 polyester pledgeted mattress sutures) were placed through the leaflet tissue adjacent to the annular calcification from the left ventricle to the left atrium and through the sewing cuff of the prosthetic valve. Although the stitches were placed first through the equine pericardium and then through the cuff, equine pericardium is sandwiched between the annular tissue and the cuff as a support—exactly the same basic principle as in the technique suggested by Hussain and colleagues.¹ A second row of running stitches were placed through the free edge of the equine pericardium and anchored to the supra-annular left atrial wall.⁴ Therefore, it seems that Hussain and colleagues¹ have used an in situ made, partially (from trigone to trigone posteriorly) collar-reinforced (with felt washer) prosthetic mitral valve.

A weak point of the suggested technique¹ should be considered. Although Hussain and colleagues¹ reported that valve sutures were placed through or around the residual calcium and annulus, with pledgets on the ventricular side, there is a possibility that some calcium fragments can be disengaged with myocardial contraction, and they are the possible origin of emboli using their technique.¹ We² and Goksel and associates⁵ have prevented that by transferring the anterior mitral leaflet that completely covered the whole region of the partially decalcified mitral annular bed (pledgeted mattress sutures² or Teflon strips⁵ were used to seal the anatomic free

edge of the anterior mitral leaflet to the left ventricular wall).

Dusko Nezc, MD, PhD, FETCS
Department of Cardiac Surgery
“Dedinje” Cardiovascular Institute
Belgrade, Serbia

References

1. Hussain ST, Idrees J, Brozzi N, Blackstone E, Pettersson G. Use of annulus washer after debridement: a new mitral valve replacement technique for patients with severe mitral annular calcification. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013; 145:1672-4.
2. Nezc D, Knezevic A, Borovic S, Jovic M. Mitral valve replacement with posterior transposition of the anterior mitral leaflet which covers and buttresses partially decalcified posterior mitral annular bed. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2012;41:1129-31.
3. Nezc D, Knezevic A, Milojevic P, Jovic M, Djukanovic B. Transaortic double valve replacement in difficult mitral valve exposure. *Acta Chir Jugosl.* 2005;52:117-9.
4. Okita Y, Miki S, Ueda Y, Tahata T, Sakai T, Matsuyama K. Mitral valve replacement with a collar-reinforced prosthetic valve for disrupted mitral annulus. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1995;59: 187-9.
5. Goksel O, Inan K, Tatar T, Ucak A, Arslan G, Us M, et al. Mitral valve replacement with bileaflet preservation for complex annular calcification. *Heart Surg Forum.* 2008;11:E1-3.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2013.06.061>

Reply to the Editor:

We thank Dr Nezc¹ for his comments and appreciate the opportunity to add to the points we addressed in our recent editorial² by further clarifying our technique³ for surgically addressing mitral annular calcification (MAC). Dr Nezc agrees with us that calcium debridement is necessary in many of these patients to permit implanting a valve of acceptable size.

On the first point related to leaflet preservation, we are in full agreement with Dr Nezc.¹ We routinely release and salvage the posterior and anterior leaflets whenever possible and transpose the anterior leaflet posteriorly to support the posterior annulus. In these cases, the posterior leaflet usually is not usable because of heavy calcification.

The Editor welcomes submissions for possible publication in the Letters to the Editor section that consist of commentary on an article published in the *Journal* or other relevant issues. Authors should: • Include no more than 500 words of text, three authors, and five references. • Type with double-spacing. • See <http://jtcvs.ctsnetjournals.org/misc/fora.shtml> for detailed submission instructions. • Submit the letter electronically via jtcvs.editorialmanager.com. Letters commenting on an article published in the *JTCVS* will be considered if they are received within 6 weeks of the time the article was published. Authors of the article being commented on will be given an opportunity of offer a timely response (2 weeks) to the letter. Authors of letters will be notified that the letter has been received. Unpublished letters cannot be returned.