Although Hussain and colleagues' technique¹ is indeed novel, it complicates mitral surgery by the need for aortic approach and adds a considerable amount of foreign material. It is indeed surprising that our publications have not been referred to in their article.

Arkalgud Sampathkumar, MCh
Department of Cardiothoracic and
Vascular Surgery
Pushpanjali Insitute of Cardiac
Sciences
Ghaziabad, India

References

1. Hussain ST, Idrees J, Brozzi NA, Blackstone EH, Pettersson GB. Use of annulus washer after debridement: a new mitral valve replacement technique for patients with severe mitral annular calcification. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2013;145:1672-4.
2. Sampathkumar AS. Massive mitral and myocardial calcification—technical maneuvers for successful valve replacement. *Int J Cardiol*. 1988;20:279-82.
3. Kumar AS. Massive mitral and myocardial calcification: technical maneuvers for successful valve replacement. *Int J Cardiol*. 2001;78:103-4.
4. Güden M, Kazimoglu K, Sanisoglu I, Sagbas E, Yaman R, Akpinar B. The use of autologous pericardium for complicated mitral valve annulus. *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann*. 2004;12:7-10.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2013.06.054>

Reply to the Editor:

We thank Dr Kumar for his comments and appreciate the opportunity to clarify the objectives of our technique.¹ We also acknowledge the technique described by Dr Kumar with autologous pericardium in a patient with severe mitral annular calcification and his favorable results at 13 years of follow-up.^{2,3} Because the *Journal* limits references in reports on surgical technique to 5, we were unable to acknowledge this case report as well as the work of others who have published about mitral annular calcification.

Dr Kumar's technique focuses on avoiding calcium embolization, whereas ours focuses on prosthetic valve size, prosthesis seating, and avoiding periprosthetic leak. We debride calcium until it is possible to implant a prosthesis of acceptable

size. The polytetrafluoroethylene felt washer sandwiched between the annulus and prosthesis¹ is an extension of the sewing ring, making it generous and soft, to distribute stress more evenly on all sutures and to help prevent periprosthetic leakage with softer tying of the sutures. We believe that our approach also reduces the risk of atrioventricular disruption or ventricular rupture and valve dehiscence.

Although we agree that the amount of foreign material should be minimized, the risk of infection is very low. Interestingly, Dr Kumar and his group⁴ used polytetrafluoroethylene felt instead of standard annuloplasty rings in 710 of 818 patients who underwent mitral valve repair and reported only 3 cases of infective endocarditis at a mean follow-up of 44.9 ± 33.2 months. We have seen no cases of endocarditis and 1 minor perioperative stroke in our series. It is possible that Dr Kumar's concept of covering the raw, débrided area with autologous pericardium reduces the risk of embolism; however, this remains to be proved. Of course, the techniques could be combined.

Use of an annulus washer when replacing the mitral valve does not require an aortotomy. The aortotomy is added when there is a large amount of calcium on the ventricular side of the annulus anteriorly and in the areas of the two trigones, because this allows exposure of these areas and facilitates débridement of calcium and placement of valve sutures. The aortotomy does not complicate the operation; rather, it makes it easier, faster, and safer.

We greatly appreciate the opportunity to address the queries in Dr Kumar's letter.

Syed T. Hussain, MD^a
Jahanzaib Idrees, MD^a
Nicolas A. Brozzi, MD^a
Eugene H. Blackstone, MD^{a,b}
Gösta B. Pettersson, MD^a
^aDepartment of Thoracic and
Cardiovascular Surgery

Heart and Vascular Institute
Cleveland Clinic
Cleveland, Ohio

^bDepartment of Quantitative Health
Sciences
Research Institute
Cleveland Clinic
Cleveland, Ohio

References

1. Hussain ST, Idrees J, Brozzi NA, Blackstone EH, Pettersson GB. Use of annulus washer after debridement: a new mitral valve replacement technique for patients with severe mitral annular calcification. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2013;145:1672-4.
2. Sampathkumar AS. Massive mitral and myocardial calcification—technical maneuvers for successful valve replacement. *Int J Cardiol*. 1988;20:279-82.
3. Kumar AS. Massive mitral and myocardial calcification: technical maneuvers for successful valve replacement. *Int J Cardiol*. 2001;78:103-4.
4. Choudhary SK, Talwar S, Dubey B, Chopra A, Saxena A, Kumar AS. Mitral valve repair in a predominantly rheumatic population. *Tex Heart Inst J*. 2001;28:8-15.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2013.07.010>

SURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF CEREBRAL MALPERFUSION WITH ACUTE TYPE A AORTIC DISSECTION

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the article by Abe and colleagues¹ about aortocardiobypass surgery for malperfused left carotid artery complicated by acute aortic dissection. Their patient was a 57-year-old man brought to the hospital with right hemiparesis and loss of consciousness. Computed tomography of the chest showed acute type A aortic dissection, and the left carotid artery was occluded by a thrombosed false lumen. First, they performed the ringed graft anastomosis to the left common carotid artery. Then, this patient underwent ascending aorta replacement under hypothermic circulatory arrest and selective cerebral perfusion. After that, the ringed graft was anastomosed to prosthetic graft. The patient recovered well without any neurologic deficit.

We saw a similar type of acute aortic dissection. A 41-year-old man