Catholic University of the Sacred Heart
Rome, Italy

References

1. Usui A, Oshima H, Akita T, Ueda Y. Polydioxanone (PDS) cord has insufficient reliability to securely close the sternum. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2006;131:1174-5.
2. van Sterkenburg SM, Brutel de la Riviere A, Vermeulen FE. Sternal fixation with resorbable suture material. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1990;4:345.
3. Luciani N, Anselmi A, Gandolfo F, Gaudino M, Nasso G, Piscitelli M, et al. Polydioxanone sternal sutures for prevention of sternal dehiscence. *J Card Surg* 2006; in press.
4. Luciani N, Nasso G, Gaudino M, Glieda F, Alessandrini F, Abbate A, et al. Treatment of mediastinitis using an open irrigation and delayed sternal reconstruction with a pectoralis major muscle flap. *Ital Heart J.* 2003;4:468-72.
5. Perkins DJ, Hunt JA, Pennington DG, Stern HS. Secondary sternal repair following median sternotomy using interosseous absorbable sutures and pectoralis major myocutaneous advancement flaps. *Brit J Plast Surg.* 1996;49:214-9.

doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2006.06.039

Reply to the Editor:

Dr Luciani and coworkers have proposed an indication of polydioxane (PDS) sutures for elective sternal closure, indicating that candidates to undergo closure with PDS cord (Johnson and Johnson Co, Ltd, New Brunswick, NJ) must be properly selected. A PDS sternal suture shows a protective effect against steel wire cutting through the bone in a subgroup of elderly, female, and petite patients without PDS filament fractures. Therefore, this subgroup is a good candidate for PDS sternal closure. For patients with slightly larger body surface areas, the PDS cord could be used together with steel wires to avoid PDS filament fractures. Patients who have had open wound treatment of mediastinitis are also good candidates. Absorbable sutures have the advantage of protecting against recurrent infection.

PDS sternal sutures have both advantages and disadvantages. PDS sutures are suitable for fragile and osteoporotic sternum to avoid bone cutting and might be effective for infected sternum because of its absorbability. But PDS sutures carry the risk of filament fracture caused by abrasion injury with the bone edge and gradual reduction of tensile strength after use in the

body. Manufacturer data show that the tensile strength decreased 50% to 65% of the previous value at 3 weeks and 30% to 40% at 6 weeks after implantation. Therefore, heavy polyester synthetic sutures are recommended to protect sternal splitting by bone cutting for bigger patients. The company has a newly developed PDS cord that is 1.5 mm in diameter with nearly twice the tensile strength (380 N) of PDS cord that is 1.0 mm in diameter (180 N). It should have sufficient strength, even several weeks after implantation. The sternal closure technique is another way to avoid PDS filament fracture. The figure-eight technique, in which the PDS suture is inserted just beside the sternum body above and below the intercostal space, should also increase the stability of sternal fixation and reduce tensile strength for each PDS suture. An absorbable sternal fixation pin (superFIXSORB; Johnson and Johnson Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) is also helpful to increase the stability of sternal fixation (Figure 1).

We agree with the adjusting indication for PDS sutures proposed by Dr Luciani and coworkers. Absorbable sutures, such as PDS cord, have many advantages for elective sternal closure. PDS sutures should be used with an understanding of its disadvantages, and the figure-eight technique and PDS cord of 1.5 mm in diameter might expand its indication.

Figure 1. The figure-eight technique and an absorbable sternal fixation pin. PDS sutures are inserted just beside the sternum body above and below the intercostal space. Absorbable sternal fixation pins are placed in the sternal manubrium and body. PDS, polydioxane.

Akihiko Usui, MD

Yuichi Ueda, MD

Department of Cardiac Surgery
Nagoya University Graduate School
of Medicine
Nagoya, Japan

doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2006.08.015

Right ventricular remodeling

To the Editor:

The *Pediatric Cardiac Surgical Annual* for 2006 contained an article on remodeling surgery,¹ in which the claim was made that the surgical concept is based “on the anatomic features described by Torrent-Guasp et al.” We find this disturbing since, to the best of our knowledge, Torrent-Guasp and his colleagues have never studied the arrangement of the ventricular myocytes in the human right ventricle. Furthermore, although receiving increasing attention from surgeons working in the arena of acquired cardiac disease, their findings in animal hearts have never been validated by independent anatomic investigators. Thus, we would question the wisdom of basing surgical procedures on such a flimsy anatomic foundation. It is noteworthy that, in a recent supplement to the *European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery*, Kocica and colleagues² state, “Under no circumstances is the attachment of the entire ventricular mass, which extends from the pulmonary artery on the one side and to the aorta on the other, considered to perform its “skeletal-muscle-like-contraction.”” Despite this statement, at no stage do Kocica and colleagues explain how the ventricular myocardial mass is compartmented so as to produce the “helical band.” Indeed, throughout the supplement, very many authors illustrate this purported helical band, yet in our own contribution to the supplement,³ we showed how, although it is possible to unravel the ventricular myocardium in the form of the helical band, there is no orderly arrangement of the myocardial aggregates within its alleged compartments. In our opinion, therefore, it is both dangerous and foolish to propose concepts for restorative surgery on the basis of unvalidated anatomic concepts. This is more so since del Nido and associates¹ chose to ignore a study specifically devoted to the orientation of the myocardial aggregates in tetralogy of Fallot,⁴ which lends no support to the concept of the “helical ventricular myocardial band.”