less of volume. As they appropriately comment, the variability in survival outcome is greater in low-volume institutions. This variability highlights one of our conclusions: that the Norwood procedure is a rare operation, even in the highest-volume centers. Low-volume institutions might be unduly affected by 1 or 2 outcomes. This, however, adds credence to the efforts of an institution to improve the delivery of care in a way that will be applicable to each case.

Additionally, MacDonald and colleagues raise the pertinent issue of regional programs. Our analysis was not able to assess the effect of associations between institutions in a region. The effect on lower-volume institutions from the exposure of programs and practices developed at high-volume institutions likely has a positive effect.

Finally, we agree, as reported by Mavroudis and Jacobs,² that many lower-volume surgeons and centers have excellent results. This highlights the need to develop processes and practices that can be adapted across institutions, regardless of the number of cases. What are the best institutions doing, and how can we learn from them? What can high-volume centers learn from the practices at low-volume centers? Sharing the details of these successful processes is essential.

Paul A. Checchia, MD, FAAP

Washington University School of Medicine

St Louis Children's Hospital

Campus Box 8116

One Children's Place, Suite 5S20

St Louis, MO 63110

References

1. Checchia PA, McCollegan J, Daher N, et al. The effect of surgical case volume on outcome after the Norwood procedure. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005;129:754-9.
2. Mavroudis C, Jacobs JP. Congenital heart disease outcome analysis: methodology and rationale. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2002; 123:6-7.
doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2005.08.035

Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery for pulmonary tuberculosis

To the Editor:

We read with interest Olgac and colleagues' remarkable experience¹ with the surgical management of pulmonary tuberculosis and empyemas. The role of surgical

intervention in tuberculosis has decreased dramatically with the advent of powerful antituberculous drugs. Our experience with the disease has been similar to that of the authors. Very few of the chronically collapsed lungs expand, even after drainage of the empyema and even decortication. Complication rates after resectional surgery are forbidding, with high rates of parenchymal air leaks and bronchopleural fistula. We agree with the authors that decortication is preferable to attempting resectional surgery, but we believe that this can be achieved more satisfactorily by means of video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) rather than open thoracotomy. Patients with tuberculous empyema are frequently cachectic and emaciated, and a less invasive surgical procedure would be preferable to an open thoracotomy, with its attendant morbidity. In most cases the empyema cavity is well formed, and thoracoscopy is usually quite easy. Visualization of the empyema cavity, drainage, adhesiolysis, and decortication can be performed adequately and safely with thoracoscopy by using multiple ports.

It has been established in a meta-analysis² that VATS is superior to chest tube drainage with streptokinase with regard to duration of chest tubes and hospital stay in the management of pleural empyemas. VATS has the potential to be both diagnostic and therapeutic and has been established to be safe and effective.³ VATS has the potential to achieve full lung expansion in patients with trapped lung or tuberculous empyema with minimal morbidity. It is similar to open thoracotomy in that therapeutic lung resection in patients with tuberculosis is both technically demanding and potentially hazardous.³ We conclude by agreeing with the authors that simple drainage and decortication would be preferable to resectional surgery in pulmonary tuberculous empyemas but affirm that this would be better achieved with VATS.

C. S. Pramesh, MS, FRCS

Rajesh C. Mistry, MS

Sandeep P. Tandon, MD

Division of Thoracic Surgery and General

Medicine

Tata Memorial Hospital

Mumbai, India

References

1. Olgac G, Yilmaz MA, Ortakoylu MG, Kutlu CA. Decision-making for lung resection in

patients with empyema and collapsed lung due to tuberculosis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005;130:131-5.

2. Coote N. Surgical versus non-surgical management of pleural empyema. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002;CD001956.
3. Yim AP, Izzat MB, Lee TW. Thoracoscopic surgery for pulmonary tuberculosis. *World J Surg.* 1999;23:1114-7.
doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2005.08.024

Reply to the Editor:

We thank Dr Pramesh and his colleagues for their comments on our recently published article.¹ As clearly indicated in their letter, the authors agreed with our conclusion that the low perfusion rate alone is not an indication for lung resection under certain circumstances. However, they were also concerned about the approach we used for decortication and raised another issue, which has entirely different conceptual and technical aspects.

We have been using video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) decortication for stage 3 postpneumonic empyemas and reported our technique recently.² The response of the pleura is very much similar, regardless of the underlying cause (tuberculosis or nonspecific infection), in the third stage of the empyema. Calcified pleural plaques might also occur in some cases during the long and chronic course of tuberculous empyemas. Other treatment options, like deloculation and use of fibrinolytic agents, are only effective in the fibrinopurulent phase (stage 2) of the disease, and therefore their usefulness to decrease hospital stay are out of consideration for such cases that were reported in our article.

It is certain that the increased and ongoing experience with VATS will replace most of the conventional techniques and will allow us to perform more sophisticated procedures in the near future. Although Pramesh and colleagues did not mention whether they have had any experience using VATS in such cases, we think the procedure is not as easy as they stated in their letter. Because of extreme narrowing of the intercostal spaces and thickened parietal peel, introducing the thoracoports and manipulating the endoscopic instruments through these ports might be very difficult. In addition, one might have to remove a rib to enter the chest in some cases, and this could also be troublesome if conversion to an open thoracotomy as a result of severe bleeding emerges. With the gained experience over the years, we almost always know that complete expansion of the lung cannot be achieved after decortication in such

cases. Thus assessment of and judgment as to whether an optimal peeling has been gained without causing undue excessive air leak is difficult via thoracoscope and consequently requires extreme experience.

Therefore we would have agreed with the authors' statement that VATS might be preferred over open thoracotomy for stage 3 tuberculous empyemas only if there was enough evidence supporting this proposal.

Güven Olgac, MD, FETCS^a

Cemal Asim Kutlu, MD, FETCS^b

Department of Thoracic Surgery

*Dr Siyami Ersek Thoracic and Cardiovascular
Surgery Center^a*

Department of Thoracic Surgery

*Sureyyapasa Thoracic and Cardiovascular
Surgery Center^b
Istanbul, Turkey*

References

1. Olgac G, Yilmaz MA, Ortakoylu MG, Kutlu CA. Decision-making for lung resection in patients with empyema and collapsed lung due to tuberculosis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005;130:131-5.
2. Olgac G, Fazlioglu M, Kutlu CA. VATS decortication in patients with stage 3 empyema. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005;53:318-20. doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2005.08.036